## PROCEEDING

CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Good evening, everybody. Just a little bit of a background.
Tonight we're going to -- we're to hold a public statement hearing on Docket DW 12-085, the Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire rate request. On July 18th of this year, the Town of Hampton requested that a public statement hearing be held on this rate case in the Town of Hampton sometime in early December. On September 24th of this year, the Commission, via a letter from its Executive Director, granted that request and scheduled the hearing to be held in the Selectmen's meeting room in the Town of Hampton Office Building at 100 Winnacunnet Road, at 7:00 p.m, on November 28th, 2012, hence why we're all here tonight.

In the way of a little background,
Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire provides water service to approximately 9,100 customers in the towns of Hampton, North Hampton, and Rye. On April 4th, 2012,
Aquarion filed a notice of intent to increase its rates and filed the rate increase schedules. On May 14th, 2012,
Aquarion filed schedules, testimony, and supporting material for a permanent increase in its revenue requirement of $\$ 1,113,931$. Aquarion also filed a petition
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for temporary rates to increase its revenue requirement by $\$ 732,078$ pending the review of its proposed permanent rates.

On August 17th of this year, a settlement agreement was reached by the Company, the Office of Consumer Advocate, and the Commission Staff. Though, the Town of Hampton was not a signatory, it did not oppose the proposed temporary rates that were included in the Settlement Agreement. On September 18th, the Commission, in Order 25,412, approved the Settlement Agreement and included the 8. -- that included the 8.8 percent temporary rate increase effective July 1st, 2012.

And, just to sort of let people now how we're going to run things tonight, this is not going to be as formal as we get -- have our hearings when we have them in Concord. No one is going to be sworn under oath here. But we, obviously, want everyone to maintain decorum and try to address the questions to Bob and myself. And, if the Company or somebody else is the appropriate people to ask, we'll let that come in. Try not to interrupt each other and just normal rules of that.

If you wish to speak, there's forms that we have, or you can submit written comments. You just -Mark Naylor has the forms over there. And, the hearing
will be recorded by the court reporter, as you can see Steve going at it right now. So, and this is for his benefit, and I have to follow these rules probably more than anybody else, except for the loud part, but please speak loud, clear, and not too fast, so that he can take that down.

And, just to introduce a few of the people here tonight. From the Office of Consumer Advocate, Susan Chairman is here.

MS. CHAMBERLIN: Hello.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, as I just
presented, that's Mark Naylor, who is the Director of PUC
Gas \& Water Division. Sitting up here with me is Bob Scott, who is a Commissioner with the Public Utilities Commission. And, I'm Michael Harrington, and I'm also a Commissioner. Chairman Amy Ignatius is not able to make it tonight, because she's working on a wind case as part of her duties as a member of the Site Evaluation Committee.

So, my plan was to have the Company first make a brief presentation. We don't want to make this too long, maybe ten, fifteen minutes, I'm hoping?

MR. WALSH: Shorter than that?
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Then, the Town of
in New Hampshire. We serve about 7,700 customers in Hampton, North Hampton, and Rye. And, we serve both domestic service to both businesses and homes, and also fire protection services, so, supporting fire hydrants and also sprinkler systems. And, we provide about 2.4 million gallons a day, on average, to the three towns. And, on a max day in the summer, it's about 5 million gallons a day. So, there's a lot of infrastructure that's in place to be able to provide that water service 24/7. And, I have a schematic up here that shows that, the primary components of that infrastructure.

So, we've got 18 wells throughout the three towns. And, the water from those wells is treated at eight different treatment facilities, where we add chlorine for disinfection, and we also add chemicals for corrosion control. The water is pumped into -- from the wells into the distribution system. And, there's 137 miles of pipe across the three towns. And, within the distribution system, we've got four large tanks. There's about 2.75 million gallons of storage amongst those four tanks. We also have two booster pump stations in the system as well. So, there's a fair amount of costs that goes into building that infrastructure, operating it, maintaining it, and replacing it as it ages.

Hampton can speak. And, then, anybody else from the public who wants to speak. The main function of this meeting is for the public to present information to the Commission. And, that's the way we'll try to run things here. It's not for us to be giving you guidance or anything like that. This is for us to get information from you. And, if you have questions on the process or something like that, we'll try to be able to answer those as well.

So, having said that, is there anything someone wants to bring up before we get going?
(No verbal response)
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Then, Aquarion, you can --

MR. WALSH: Well, thank you for having us here this evening. And, good evening, everyone. We appreciate that you've all come out here tonight. I'm John Walsh. I am the Vice President of Operations for the Company's Massachusetts and New Hampshire operations. And, I'm going to just do a brief presentation tonight, a little bit about the Company, and about the rate application. And, then, I'm going to turn it over -we're going to turn it over to get your perspective.

So, a little bit about the Company here

And, our costs have been rising over the last several years, since our last rate case. And, what we are requesting is about $\$ 1.1$ million to cover those rising costs. And, I've got a pie chart up here that shows some of the areas or the primary areas of those rising costs. One -- I'll point out a couple of them. In the "other taxes" arena, we've got -- that's about 15 percent of this rate request. And, that's increasing property taxes, as well as a new right-of-way tax from the Town of Hampton. Our maintenance costs have gone up. We're doing a lot more proactive maintenance to ensure that we're providing safe water, that means all the federal and state guidelines, 24/7. Our wages, down there in blue there, those have gone up over -- since the last rate case about 10 percent. So, it's been about four years since the last rate case, so about two and a half percent a year increase in the wages.

And, like many towns and businesses, our pension costs have increased. And, we've combated that by cutting off our pension. New employees are no longer able to be in our pension. We also combat our costs, we're pretty consistently looking at how to reduce our costs, because we're very mindful of the impact of the rate increases on the communities that we serve.

In the last couple years, we've gotten
-- achieved savings in power costs. We went out to a third party supplier for power and reduced our costs by
about $\$ 18,000$ a year. Our water quality testing, we negotiated with the State to reduce testing for a couple of contaminants, to the tune of about \$16,000 a year of savings. We changed the chemicals that we use for pH control to a less expensive chemical. So, we saved about $\$ 14,000$ there. With leak detection, we got a State grant to search for leaks and to retain a contractor that we typically are paying. And, then, for some of our contractors, we've changed how we do our cross-connection inspection that's a component of our system, and that amounts to about \$5,000 a year of savings. So, our folks are constantly looking at ways to control and reduce costs.

The impact of the rate request on the average customer, so, the average customer uses about 53,000 gallons per year, and the impact on them, on a daily basis, about 22 cents a day, and, on a monthly basis, it's a little less than $\$ 7.00$ a month from where we're at right now to the rate -- the increase that we're requesting.

And, we are requesting that this
domestic service.
In spite of the rate request that we have before you, I wanted to show this graph that indicates or shows that -- it's a graph of the monthly cost for utilities, across a variety of utilities, that's prepared by the EPA. And, what it shows here, it's got gas, cellphone, electric, cable bill, all of those costs are notably higher than what you pay for water. And, water happens to be the only one of those utilities that you ingest.

To sum up or to wrap up, we are committed to serving the three communities here. And, we're committed to providing safe drinking water 24/7, both for domestic use and fire protection. And, we're committed to providing the service at the lowest reasonable cost that we can provide it at. Now, our costs are rising, and what we're proposing or what we're requesting here is an increase in our rates to reflect those rising costs.

And, again, I appreciate you coming out.
We are interested in your perspective and your feedback.
There's a number of folks here from the Company. And, after this all wraps up, we are available for questions and answers. I just want to point to the folks again, I'm
increase be applied, the same percentage, for all of our customers, and including our fire protection charges. And, we have met with the North Hampton Water Commission, and we've heard from those folks, some of the concerns that they have about the fire protection charges. And, we're glad that you're willing to share and be so candid with us. So, we appreciate that.

The fire protection charges, they cover the costs for fire protection throughout the system, all the hydrants and the sprinkler systems that are installed in buildings, and that service is there 24/7. I know one of our folks said that fire down in at the beach area last -- last year?

FROM THE FLOOR: 2010.
MR. WALSH: -- 2010, we put -- they put
about 2 million gallons of water from our system onto that fire. And, throughout all that, we were still maintaining service to all our other customers.

So, the fire protection charges or the fire system capacity saves lives, saves properties, and it reduces insurance rates. And, the cost of the charges reflect the need for, over the years, the system has been built with larger pumps, larger pipes, and larger tanks, to support the fire service, as opposed to just supporting

John Walsh. And, the other folks here are Carl McMorran, and Carl runs our system on a day-to-day basis here; Pam Knowlton is our Customer Service leader; Troy Dixon is our Rates and -- Director of Rates \& Regulation; Josh Unger, works for Troy, he's a Senior Regulatory Compliance Specialist; and McKinley Rowe, McKinley Rowe right next to him.

So, all of us are available after for Q\&A. Thank you very much.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: There is just one other kind of housekeeping matter I did want to mention, I should have mentioned it earlier. The complete record of this is going to be found in the docket, that's how we do things at the PUC. And, if you go to the PUC website, which you can just put in "New Hampshire PUC" and you'll get the website there, on the left-hand side you'll see something called "Docketbook" on that webpage. And, if you look at -- you punch, you know, click on that, and then it will come up for the years, put in the year, 2012. And, if you put in this number, excuse me, "DW 12-085", scroll down the list till you get there, open that up, and you'll have a list of all the information that's been filed on this. That includes all the testimony that the Company's filed, any rulings that the Commission has made
on that already, or future ones will be there as well. If people submit testimony, all that stuff is going to be there. The schedules of when future hearings will be, etcetera. So, that's a good thing just to remember.

So, it's pretty easy. Just go to the New Hampshire PUC website, get on the home page, you can go to "Docketbook" on the left-hand side, click on that, and then go in under "DW 12-085", and you'll have all this information that's pertinent to the case. It's getting thick, so you really don't want to carry it around all the time. But it's all there, so you can read it at your pleasure.

CMSR. SCOTT: That's puc.nh.gov.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Next, the Town
of Hampton.
MR. GEARREALD: Thank you, Commissioners, for coming to Hampton. My name is Mark Gearreald. I'm the Town Attorney for the Town of Hampton. I won't speak very long, because I think this is a time for the public to speak. And, in particular, I have several selectmen here who are from Hampton who would like to speak. So, I would simply like to say for the record, as you've heard me say before you at hearings in Concord, that the Town of Hampton vigorously opposes the

So, these are major issues to be played out in the merits of this case, which are at the moment scheduled for March coming. But we appreciate your coming here to receive the public's point of view.

I know, Mr. Chairman, you mentioned that
the PUC granted a temporary rate increase effective
July 1. That was a rate increase of 8.8 percent. The Company had sought 12.3 percent on a temporary basis.
And, so, the 8.8 percent was something that was recommended by the Company, in conjunction with the PUC Staff and the Office of Consumer Advocate. The important thing for the public to realize is that is a rate that is without prejudice. That is, the Commission could decide in March to not give that much of an increase, in which case consumers would get a refund, or it could decide to add -- to grant more of an increase, in which case there would be an additional charge. So, the 8.8 percent was without prejudice to future proceedings in the case.

Thank you so much. And, my selectmen
are here to speak.
MR. GRIFFIN: Hi. I'm Rick Griffin, the Chairman of the Board of Selectmen. Some of the points I would like to make is that Aquarion is seeking an overall rate increase of $\mathbf{1 8 . 3}$ percent. Of the increase in
18.3 percent rate increase that is being sought here, of an increase in revenue in excess of a million dollars.

There are a number of issues involved that are significant in this case. A significant portion of the revenue increase being sought can be accounted for in decreased consumption of water. That is, consumers have conserved water and nevertheless are now being asked to make up for the loss in revenue from that conservation effort.

The second point is that the Company is seeking an increase in its rate of equity return, from 9.75 percent to 10.25 percent, in this climate, in which one can borrow money at a significantly lesser rate, and in which consumers themselves can't make anywhere near that on their investments.

Third major points to make is that the Company in this case is seeking to make permanent a WICA charge, Water Infrastructure \& Conservation Adjustment. This is a surcharge that's been added for the last two years and is sought to be added again this year. And, one -- two of the purposes of that WICA charge were to decrease the frequency of coming before you for rate increases and to lessen rate shock. And, I don't think either of those purposes are being served.
revenues, $\$ 1,113,931$ that Aquarion is seeking permission from the PUC to earn, 19.21 percent of that increase, or $\mathbf{2 1 4 , 0 0 0}$, is being sought to make up for the loss of revenues due to the decline in water consumption, water conservation by our customers. In other words, customers are being penalized for saving water. And, that's one of the things that people in Hampton seem to be most outraged by.

At recent hearings, some of the PUC Commissioners have expressed that they are troubled by the fact of consumers being penalized for having conserved water. This is a downward cycle, and some new thinking outside of the box is needed at the Commission to get us out of the same old, same old pattern of charging customers for all expenses, even those incurred from lower water usage.

One component of the rate increase is to increase by $\mathbf{2 2 . 5 1}$ percent the annual charge for fire hydrants, of which Hampton has 268 of the 481 public fire service hydrants that Aquarion takes care of and provides water to. This increase would hit the taxpayers of Hampton with $\$ 71,863$ more than in 2011 for having access to water for hydrants, which are charged a flat fee, as opposed to being charged for water usage basis. The towns
are pretty much captive to these charges. Unlike in 2009, when the towns were hit with lower rate increases for hydrants of 9.9 percent than other consumers, who were hit with a 17.44 percent rate increase. This year, the Company is seeking to hit the public hydrant users at the same high rate of increase. In particular, sitting hear listening to the presentation, feel that I'm sorry to hear that you are stopping the pensions or whatever is being provided to the people that work here locally, because, you know, it seems like, I'm a regular reader of the Wall Street Journal, and I understand that the Macquarie Group that owns Aquarion Water is one of the most lucrative places to work for pensions for people up at the top. And, I feel it's pretty disappointing that people that might work and live here in Hampton and work for Aquarion aren't provided with the same benefits.

Other things that I think are -- I would like to see the PUC step up and side with the consumer for a chance -- for a change. Because the nine years l've been here at the Board of Selectmen, I haven't seen a lot of help coming from the PUC. And, I hope that you'll be able to be on the side of the consumers this time. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you very much.
flat pension. It doesn't go up at all any years. So, looking at it from my point of view, okay, this is another squeezing of my ability to live anywhere in Hampton, okay? That's the way I look at it.

So, now, I'm just going to make a couple
remarks that we prepared -- that was prepared earlier in relation to your rate increase. The frequency of rate increase petitions are increasing. You granted one in 1992, 2000, 2006, 2009, and now one in 2013. So, one could argue on that point alone that, if we're saving money in operation of delivering water, that we should be able to benefit, rather than just having a continuous round of increases, is my point.

Since l've been retired, back to that point, my increase of income has not changed hardly at all. And, I'm sure there's a lot of people in Hampton, during this financial crisis, have gone through the same issue. We've had quite a few foreclosed houses in Hampton. And, there's still quite a few houses for sale in Hampton for the same reasons. So, looking at alone, the financial crisis did not help us at all.

So, when your -- the water company wants
an 18 percent increase, and they want this huge return on their money, which I think is wonderful, if it was a risky

MR. PIERCE: Good evening. I'm Mike Pierce. I'm a ratepayer, taxpayer, and a Selectman of the Board of Hampton -- of the Town of Hampton. This is my first time talking to PUC. I went up to one of your meetings earlier last spring, and I did make one remark that was probably inappropriate in the audience, but that's beside the point. I'm always making remarks that are inappropriate. But I will say this about the water company, since l've lived here, since 1968, and had the water service from your company, or your predecessors, I never had a problem with the water service, okay? I remember back, for all you in the audience, when Jane Kelley was the Town Clerk, there was a rate increase of some huge magnitude of about 50 percent, and she complained to all of us that nobody went up and complained about it, nobody said anything to you folks at all about it. That was a few years back. So, this time, I made it a point to come up and see you fellows and your ladies that are on the Commission, and it was a different experience for me.

But let me put it this way, as a retired person living in Hampton, my Social Security increase is usually not by my Medicare increase. So, therefore, I come out about even on that every year. My pension is a
business, but selling water is about the safest thing you can invest your money in right now. I don't have any bonds or anything in my portfolio of 50 cents that has any risk as safe as this is on a good day. Most of it's risky. In fact, one of the bonds I had in my portfolio went to zero, it was called "Freddie Mac". Okay? That's pretty -- normally, it would be considered pretty safe. But a water company is much -- it's about like gold in your hand in relation to having a high risk. So, that's another thing I think we have to look at, this high return they expect or are asking for.

And, back to the WICA thing, I think that the attorney said it pretty close that, if we're going to have the WICA charges on one hand, to try to spread out some of the cost of operating the -- adding new things and fixing things in their facility, then why do they need these huge rate increases to go with it? This is a significant rate increase. You said it was only 20 some dollars a quarter, well, that's 80 some dollars a year added on to the bill we have now.

And, I'll be honest with you, when my income is flat, guess what? $\$ 80$ has to come out of someplace else. That's my problem. And, being as I get paid so much as a selectman every year in Hampton, I can
hardly afford to do it on that salary alone, that's for sure. So, I think, I'm not trying to be silly about it, sure. So, ltink, Im not trying to be silly about it, but sometimes a little frivolity is good. But, in reality, I think we're asking too much here. I mean, that's the bottom line.

I can't think of anything else that's
gone up that much in my whole life. I can remember gasoline being 25 cents a gallon in 1950, okay? It's gone up. But that was 1950. Nothing has gone up 18 percent that much every year for -- that I can think of, nothing has, nothing in our whole economy. So, I don't think we can possibly say "well, look at this, look at that." I mean, some things will hit us once in while, like the price of cigarettes. They really whacked us a few times, as the bad habit that I have, that really hit me pretty hard, I'll admit. But I reduced my smoking a little bit, because that's probably good for my health. But how can I reduce my water very easily. We have to drink to live, we like to wash our clothes. I don't waste water at my house. I used to water my grass. I stopped doing that. Not because I was trying to be conservative and be a good doobie, I said, "That's a waste of time, because it's probably going to be dry anyway, and it will turn brown besides what I do for it. So, what difference does it
suppose, for the country is 3.48 percent. So, they're asking for three times the rate of return that a 30-year mortgage would allow. Their cost of debt capital is just over 6 percent. And, apparently, if I understand some of the documents that have been filed, they have very little control, because they sold effectively a non-callable bond, so that they can't have much wherewithal to change their cost of debt. But I don't think there's anything in the calculations that prohibit a rate of capital return being less than the weighted cost of debt capital that is already on the Company's books. If the market conditions have changed sufficiently, as far as risk-free investment returns are concerned, then, the mathematics could yield a cost of capital less than, certainly, what a company's existing return on debt or payments on debt capital are.

So, that's the -- if you could focus on that particular part of this, I'm sure you'll be focusing on all of it, but I would really appreciate you looking at that. $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 5}$ percent, as several other speakers have already said, is a fantastic return in today's market, in a business that's relatively risk-free. You don't see too many bad debts in a water company. I think as you gentlemen look at gas and electric companies, you might see the bad debt exposure is higher, because there's
make?"
But I'm just saying that, back to this, and I think I speak for most people in Hampton, maybe not all, I think this is just way over the -- way over the edge. And, that's really all I have to say. And, I can give you a hard copy of these notes when we're all finished, if you'd like.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Certainly.
MR. MOORE: Good evening. Thank you for coming to Hampton for this public hearing. My name is Ben Moore. I live on Ocean Boulevard, in Hampton, and I am also a Selectman. And, I don't want the audience to get the idea that these remarks are limited to selectmen. So, we're coming up to get you in the right spirit, but please, please join us.

I just wanted to focus on the rate application as it pertains to the rate of capital return. I believe that the numbers are that they wish to increase their allowable rate of return on capital from nine and three-quarters percent to ten and a quarter percent. Today's rate for risk-free Treasuries, ten years, is 1.64. So, they're asking for six times the rate that a risk-free investment would allow. The rate on a fixed 30 -year mortgage, at least as reported in the papers, average, I
tenants out there who will sometimes skip. Water is on the landlord, in other parts of the state, water charges are usually paid for by the landlord. So, the companies who are providing water have a safer way of collecting their fees versus other utilities.
So, I think you've got a fairly
risk-free business, and you've got a rate that's being requested for capital, return on capital, that, to me, is just a bit too high, given the other rates that are out there. So, thank you, gentlemen.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
MR. BEAN: Good evening, Commission
members. My name is Phil Bean, Hampton resident, excuse me, and selectmember of the Board of Selectmen. A little background. Water utilities were among the first publicly traded equities in this country. The Manhattan Company, which later became Chase Manhattan, operated the first water system in New York City. And, I echo the comments of the Chairman on the parent corporation for Aquarion, and vigorously -- vigorously support the comments and the requests of the Town Attorney for the Commission to intercede seed on this extraordinary involuntary transfer of wealth, for a community whose median income per household and per capita is not very wealthy, and has
struggled in the last five years during this recession.
There's case law with Bluefield, Supreme
Court, with water utilities, as I'm sure you're well aware, that companies are allowed to charge an allowed rate of return that is sufficient to attract capital at reasonable rates. A company is not guarantied any return, whether it earns an allowed return, it depends how efficiently that company is run.

It appears from testimony tonight that
that's being founded on the back of stripping pensions and increasing customers that conserve water and natural resources. If this continues, there is no regulatory guarantee that a poorly run company will earn its allowed rate of return. The rate request tonight, in effect, allows a corporation that has a monopoly in this town to subsidize its other expenditures, to include real estate and acquisitions.

A review of the Aquarion website this afternoon, in a break from work, in a discussion with the Town Attorney, Aquarion touts itself as the seventh largest utility, private investor utility, in the United States of America. It has been on a prolific spree of acquisitions in the State of Connecticut. And, if I may read on one of their acquisition reports from Bruce
the goal of creating, wherever possible, an integrated and unified system. The acquisition of United fills a major portion of the remaining gaps in infrastructure that have long prevented people in western Connecticut from enjoying the services, reliability, and efficiencies of a regional water supply."

There's no mention of Hampton, there's
no mention of New Hampshire, there's no mention of ratepayers, in a very hardworking, entrepreneurial small business community.

In addition, Aquarion has the resources,
these are their words "Aquarion has the resources needed to address the significant infrastructure investment requirements of the region."

If I may continue, and I'll wrap up, because I know there's a lot of good, hardworking people who do want to speak here tonight, a little stock information. And, it's very hard to get financial data on Aquarion from their website. There is virtually none. So, people cannot educate themselves. But, in terms of return on equity, and there are some really bright people that are going to speak after me and have spoken before me, return on equity, the top eight companies in the industry, in water utilities, start with 37 percent return

Silverstone, Vice President of Corporate Communications, and this is February 23rd, 2012, they were purchasing water systems in Connecticut virtually every other month in 2011. Selectman Moore speaks of the risk. If there's so much risk, then why the extensive acquisition spree in Connecticut?
"With this pending acquisition, this Company will now provide water service to more than 610,000 people in 47 cities and towns throughout this state." Here's what I found interesting, "in addition to its service territories in Massachusetts and New Hampshire." As though we in Hampton and we in New Hampshire, stewards of your leadership, gentlemen, are a service territory and an afterthought. "The purchase of United Water's Connecticut operations is consistent with our strategy of growth through acquisition."
"Last year, Aquarion purchased and
integrated more than 27 new water systems in Connecticut, adding over 10,000 customers. With the purchase of United Water Systems, the Company continues to strengthen its portfolio, and improve the availability and quality of water in communities throughout Connecticut. Aquarion has been following its strategy of growth through acquisition by purchasing water companies throughout Connecticut with
on equity, 21 percent return on equity, 18 percent, 19 percent. And, that industry is so lucrative, as Chase Manhattan's founders could tell you, that the laggards of that industry are earning 6 percent, 7 percent, and 8 percent.

So, again, I would say to you, on behalf of the Selectmen, the Town Attorney, and the people in this town, we ask that you intercede on this extraordinary involuntary transfer of hardworking people's money in this Town. Thank you, gentlemen.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Before he leaves, if
he -- just I wondered, if he has written comments, you might want to submit them. And, if you have -- I don't know if you had something written that's --

MR. BEAN: Thank you very much, sir.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. And, that goes
for anybody that has anything, whether it be tonight or as a follow-up, you can submit those, and they will be posted on that docket, as I mentioned earlier.

There's no particular order to these,
I'm just going to read the names off. And, I'll apologize in advance if I mispronounce any of them, and we'll just -- the people who say they wish to speak. Helena Barthell, is that correct?

MS. BARTHELL: Should I go first, you
mean?
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes, by all means. MS. BARTHELL: Well, I didn't want to go first, but I'm Helena --

CMSR. HARRINGTON: It's the luck of the
draw, that's all it come down to.
MS. BARTHELL: I'm Helena Barthell, 33
Dover Avenue, in Hampton. I actually used to work as a --
I'm a chemical engineer, with a Master's in Accounting, I used to work for Citizens Utilities, in Stamford,
Connecticut, a water utility. I was a project manager at various times, part of the time for their West Coast project manager and East Coast project manager, a company, a smaller version of what Aquarion is. They have since divested their water utility. In fact, I think it was acquired by Aquarion. And, so, I've been on the other side. And, let me tell you, it's just wrong. They have a monopoly. There's no exception. They just want to -they have no incentive to keep their rates down, to keep their costs down, because every cost that they have is just pushed through as an expense to the Public Utilities Commission. They're guarantied a big fat rate of return from the Public Utilities Commissions. And, it's all just

What they're getting for the capital improvements, that's good. We want the capital structure, the infrastructure to be good. But the actual cost of the water itself that they're delivering, that charge has gone up over 10 percent. And, I'm a little confused by the numbers. Is this like, when they're asking for a rate increase, is this on top of what was passed in September? And, it really annoyed me that it was approved September 28 retro -- or, September 11th, retro active to July 1. I mean, that's just -- you shouldn't be able to retroactively charge people for a product that they consumed, thinking it's going to be at one price, and then three months later you find out it's at another price.

So, I just would really hope that the
Public Utilities Commission would represent the people of the State of New Hampshire, and especially the people here in Hampton, and not just give them a big rate increase, that they have a lot of cash in their coffers to go out and acquire companies and grow. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Just so I can clarify one thing that you mentioned, just so you're clear. The way the thing on the temporary rates works is, those go into effect. And, as the -- I can't remember his name, I think he was the lawyer for the Town stated correctly,
a big party when they get their rates. It's an obscene amount of rate that they're asking for in today's current economic environment with the cost of money being so low.
And, to add insult to injury, l'll just
go on record as saying I don't like the test of my water. I have to buy bottled water. Sometimes when I'm running water to make tea in the morning, I smell bleach coming from the tap, and it just tastes nasty. So, I'm not happy with the product. It's -- I have had a problem with the service, because I have two units on my property; one is a rental, as is very common down in the Hampton Beach area, for one lot to have two buildings, and I don't -- while I don't winterize, I don't use very much water in the rental property. And, so, Aquarion always sends me notices saying, you know, "your meter's faulty, you're not using enough water", and then they want to bill me, have a new meter put in. I have had a new meter put in, and, even after that, they still send me these letters every winter saying I'm not using enough money, my meter must be faulty, and they want to charge me like, you know, \$130 for the quarter, where I've had almost no water over there.
So, it's -- there's just no -- there's
just no reason. It's wrong. Their costs are too high.
that if, just to make figures simple, if a 5 percent temporary rate is granted, and, during the rate hearing process, say six months goes by, then, at the end of that time, a 4 percent final rate is granted, then the rates would be reconciled down. If a 6 percent rate was -permanent rate was granted, then they would be reconciled up. The function of the temporary rates is to try to avoid as much rate shock. So, you bring in temporary rates, are somewhere in the range that people believe will be reasonable, and then that's before all the details are looked at. There's a specific statute that allows us to not use as much scrutiny for granting temporary rates because of that exact reason. So, they're not on top of, they will be reconciled with whatever the permanent rates are.

MS. BARTHELL: So, in essence, they have gotten a rate increase before they have had to justify that rate increase, because they haven't come before you yet. That's happening in January.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Well, no, there was a
Settlement Agreement between the OCA, the Staff, and the Company, which was approved by the Commission granting the 8.8 percent. The standard that they have to meet to get temporary rate increase is lower by statute than a
permanent rate increase. But, if, for example, on a particular case, the Commission were to say "on closer scrutiny, we think the temporary rates are too high", then that money would have to be returned from the Company to the customers. Just like, if they're too low, then they get reconciled up. It's a way of trying to smooth out the potential rate bump one way or the other. But they're not on top of each other. I just wanted to make sure you -everyone understood that.

MS. BARTHELL: I appreciate that. Thank you for the clarification.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Next lucky person, this one I'm going to need some help from, how about Joseph, from High Street, because I don't want to even attempt, because I'll screw it up bad.

MR. PIERZYNSKI: I good Irish name.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. I could see you
were Irish, yes. Remind me of my family, yes. How do you pronounce that? Pierzynski? How is it pronounced, sir?

MR. PIERZYNSKI: "Pierzynski".
CMSR. HARRINGTON: "Pierzynski". All

## right.

MR. PIERZYNSKI: I just want to hit on that rate shock. When you go to the gas pump and you get,

## whole property.

Normally, what happens in a house, it's
a lead -- piece of lead, the sprinkler head sprinkles out,
puts the fire out. The fire department comes in with
their fire extinguisher and puts out the fire. So, it
saves not only property value, you know, the property
itself, but it saves on water consumption. And, we're paying an astronomical amount of money for just having this service. And, that's why I would like you to look at it. That's one of my notes that was on your sheet there, but I'm not going to go in any depth. But that's pretty much it. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you. I think I can handle this one. Nancy Stiles.

SEN. STILES: Good evening, gentlemen.
And, thank you for coming to Hampton. I am Senator Nancy Stiles. I'm responsible for Hampton, North Hampton, and Rye. So, I cover all three communities. I think you got the communication from my office at the beginning of this process.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Uh-huh.
SEN. STILES: You've heard from the
Selectmen this evening of all of the details of the increases. And, now, you'll hear from our residents, on
you know, they start charging you $\$ 3.50$, and then they start charging you 3.75, it doesn't hurt as much. I mean, we're getting hit with this rate, you know, right up front.

My main concern is, I own a condo. And, it's three levels. And, we're required to have fire protection. And, we get the rate increase on both sides of that. I pay a water bill, I figured out my water bill for this year was $\$ 425$ this year so far. My sprinkler bill was $\$ 383$. So, I'm getting taxed twice or I'm getting hit twice with this burden of this increase. And, that's a big kick. Like a lot of these people say, we're not rich here in Hampton. You know, we're medium income people that live here in Hampton. And, my water usage is a lot, compared to probably most, because I live near the ocean, I have a lot of guests that come. And, for our sprinkler bill to be that high, you know, to pay for a service, normally -- people don't realize on a sprinkler, we're very happy to have that service, but to pay that type of money is astronomical. A sprinkler system saves water. If there's a fire in your house, the normal gallons used for a sprinkler head is 385 gallons. Instead of hooking up a fire hose and having a fire department come in, put out a fire that, you know, that engulfs the
their personal issues. This is not the time to raise rates. We have been working very hard in the Legislature to keep our costs down. I would ask that you allow our people to save some of their money, instead of a 9 percent increase. An 18 percent increase is outrageous.

I look forward to meeting with you in Concord, when you have your next hearing. And, I look forward to you respecting the wishes of the individual citizens in this community. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, Senator.

## Doug DeSilva.

MR. DeSILVA: Yes. My name is Doug
DeSilva. And, I'm a resident of Hampton, 18 years. And, I appreciate the opportunity to be here. And, I recognize, in dealing with any large company, the individual consumer often has little leverage. Since we're dealing here with a private company, providing a public utility, operating as a monopoly, I would say that it's imperative that we need the state to represent us.

I think what bothers me most about this increase is the -- what I didn't hear tonight is the 18 plus percent increase, percent, on top of what we've been through the last couple of years, in terms of rate increases. And, the fact that's been stated here that
consumption will go down, and that this thing, I don't see where anybody's got their hands around it.

So, I don't know what the problem is,
I'm not in that business. But you leave me, as a resident, wondering if the problem is in Concord or the problem is with the Company and its ability to manage that resource, or its need to answer to a higher authority outside the country. It's probably a combination of those, from the uninitiated.

So, I guess what l'd like to see, is I'd
like to see a little more effort put into developing some measures of performance that you and the Company can come to terms with that take into account consumer satisfaction.

One thing the speaker said was that this is a utility, "the only one we ingest". It's also the only one we don't have a competitor for. And, right now, there's no viability for anything else.

But they're doing pretty well. And, I
will just ask the State to take a hard look at where this is headed. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Representative Rice.
REP. RICE: Thank you very much. My
name is Fred Rice. I'm a resident and ratepayer in
there. The same as the wood that makes up this building, you can't re -- keep charging for the wood in this building, if it doesn't burn down and have to be built up again. And, similarly, you shouldn't be charging on a -they're seeking an ongoing tax to cover the cost of fire protection. Once it's in, there is no ongoing cost. The delivery system is entirely in place. This is the same delivery system for the most, or certainly it's grown, but this is -- a good bit of this is the same delivery system that was here when it was called the "Hampton Water Works", and their symbol was a little faucet with a little drop coming out of it. And, it kind of reflected the scope of the water supply in this town.

Again, they said that the rates are going up, because the costs are going up. Well, then, they promote water conservation and all these other things, and everything seems to be a self-imposed penalty. It reminds of the classic story of the kid who killed his parents, and then threw himself on the mercy of the court because he was an orphan. And, that's exactly what they're doing here. They're raising the price, and then they're saying -- they're promoting water conservation, putting in new stuff, and then saying "Gosh, that costs an awful lot of money. Somebody else has got to pay us."

Hampton, and I'm a State Representative representing the Town of Hampton.

One of the biggest things that struck me was the size of the increase. And, the reaction I had to it was that there's not enough long-term planning in here to be able to see what the costs are going to be way down the line and avoid these huge jumps. A number of years ago, when I served on the Board of Selectmen here in Hampton, we had a hearing similar to this for another rate increase. And, I'm glad to see management here tonight, I really am. Because the last time, there was an attorney here, that was it. There was an attorney, and he stood up, and every question was -- his response to virtually every question was "we are authorized by law to be able to do such and such and such and such." He hid in the legal language of virtually everything. There was absolutely no satisfaction whatsoever, and there was no way to go back and plead for a better circumstance.

We got a couple of things here that tell
me, again, I'll echo what the other individuals said here about not having their arms around it, the fire protection is static. Once the pipe is in, and it's filled with water, if there isn't a fire, there shouldn't be any cost in there. Once that's in, it is a static load that's

They're not. There's no incentive there.
As long as they can come to the PUC and
say "Hey, the law says we can just incorporate this in our rate, and we can get paid while we're working." That's a free loan to them. That is a free loan. When you allow them to have the 4 percent, the example that you just gave, sir, and they can use that while they're in the propose of determining what the final thing is going to be, they have got a free loan.

I serve on the Board of the Local
Coastal Economic Development Corporation here, and we don't give money for free. We charge an interest rate on
it. And, if they are getting that money for free, they ought to pay an interest rate back to the Town for having had the use of the ratepayers' money while they were in the process of figuring out what they were going to end up paying. They shouldn't have free use of that money.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Sir, if I could
interrupt just for one second?
REP. RICE: Sure.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: That's a very cogent point you brought up.

REP. RICE: Please.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, in fact, that is
part of the reconciliation process. The interest is considered. So, if the temporary rates were higher than the permanent rates, the reconciliation would not only get the money back, but it would also get it back with interest.

REP. RICE: Okay. It is with interest, okay. Because that wasn't clear in what it was, it just said that that was the rate they're seeking.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Fair enough. It's a
complicated process. I'm still trying to figure it out sometimes.

REP. RICE: One of the things that we have in town here is the CIP, which is supposed to stop these spikes. We're still seeing these spikes. One individual listed several of the increases they've had. I think that there needs to really be some attention paid to that.

Our costs are going up. Our infrastructure, we're improving it. We're in one of the worst economies that we've ever seen in this country. We've got, as Selectmen Bean said, that they're getting their rate increases and their return on the backs of pensioners by cutting out the pensions. I can see trimming back on the pensions, but I can't see doing away
we're in a terrible economy? Seems to me there's a lot of stuff here that they are being -- they are enabled to do right now that maybe there ought to be a little more of a check and balance on what they are doing.

And, you know, recently, up until recently, we thought that the State Liquor Commission was doing a good job. And, I think everybody has read in the paper that they have lost a couple hundred thousand dollars worth of booze, they have had all kinds of stuff go wrong up there. And, they formed a special commission, special committee to look into their dealings. And, there have been a number of serious recommendations in there as to how the Liquor Commission's operation should change.

I also served recently, in this past
term, on a committee that reviewed the fees by the agencies, everything from DRED to DES and so forth, and the fees that they charge and how they do that. And, the sentiment there was that more of these things should be put into statute, and should be given more oversight, so that the representatives of the people, the elected representatives, can look over their shoulder and see that what they're doing is correct and that the people aren't getting screwed by it.

And, I will say right now that I stand
with them totally. That's something a lot of people rely on.

But, then, in the middle of all of this, just yesterday, I had -- I had to make an appointment, and I had a worker come from Aquarion to replace my meter. Now, several years ago, they came in, and instead of the old mechanical meter that the guy came in and looked at all the time, they replaced that, and they took that meter out and they put one in where the guy goes by in the truck, and he just clicks a button or points the thing at my house and it reads it. Well, he came in and he clipped the wires on that. Went inside my house, took out the water meter, and put one in that they can read automatically now, and it can tell me drop-by-drop how much water I'm using. And, I hope that gets me away from that dreaded "estimated volume" that I get on my bill every now and then, which is -- drives me crazy sometimes.
But, if they could just drive -- is
there so much saving by putting in a brand-new meter, that probably costs several hundred, if not a thousand dollars a piece, because totally automated and computerized and so forth, is there so much saving by one guy in a truck not driving around town each week that it's worth doing that, and asking for an increase in their rate of return, while
ready to do the same thing right now and submit legislation, I don't think it's going to happen this year, the deadline is too short. But I would be more than willing to work with the Town Manager, the Selectmen, the Town Attorney here, to draft a good piece of legislation that would represent the people's position on this, and that would perhaps call for looking at how the PUC goes about reviewing such cases as this, so that these things don't happen again. We've got to do something that protects the people, holds down the costs of the people, not raises the rate on return of a huge company that's based in Connecticut.

So, I stand ready to do that. And, it
came up with some very good results in two other commissions so far this year, in this last term, and I think we can do the same thing again.

So, I hope that you will take a hard
look at these, and not be so willing to go to the limits of what they can do, and make them justify what they want to do. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Douglas Reed.
MR. REED: Hi. My name is Doug Reed. I
live at $\mathbf{5 4 6}$ High Street, here in Hampton. I was also amazed at the amount of the increase that was being
proposed. But, like one of my neighbors who already spoke, you know, I'm also very concerned about the, you know, the fire protection system that goes into the condo that I live in. You know, the system, we're really just being charged for the privilege of having the system. They do no maintenance to the system. From the isolation valve in is really our responsibility. So, it's really simply for the privilege. And, much, much like the system, the consumable water that goes into the house, you know, if there's a rupture or something on our side of the value, then we're responsible to fix that.

So, you know, just last winter, we had a rupture in the main that runs right outside of the units. And, we had a representative from Aquarion come down and he, you know, essentially argued with, there was like six or eight of us standing around wondering how we're going to get water running back, and he was adamant that it wasn't his responsibility, that wasn't -- that wasn't his main, that wasn't his leak.

And, we were this close [indicating], really, to having a guy come down, at our own expense, to dig a big hole and fix this main. Luckily, one of the -there was a couple that live towards the end of our row of homes, the man actually built some of these units, and his
basically, in my community or our streets, are retired people, on a set income, who -- and most of them are very aware of trying to make their budget work. As a matter of fact, some of my neighbors have rain barrels. They have stopped watering their lawns. I have a sump pump -- I mean, a dehumidifier in my basement. I empty that every day in the summer and water my garden with it. And, it seems to me like we're being penalized for conserving water. Because, obviously, they're not getting as much money from the households that do that, so they have to make it up somewhere else. And, I don't think they should be doing it to the residents of Hampton. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Michael Pierce? MR. PIERCE: I've already spoken. Thank
you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Oh, I'm sorry.
You're with the Selectmen. I'm sorry.
MR. PIERCE: Yes.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: You had two forms.
Okay. Mary-Louise Woolsey? Do I have that right?
MS. WOOLSEY: Good evening, gentlemen.
Mary-Louise Woolsey, 148 Little River Road, in Hampton.
Thank you for your courtesy in coming to hear us. Before I begin my remarks, we do indeed have another monopoly in
wife went in and called the attorney. And, he actually had some papers and some diagrams and whatever that said "No, this is where the main runs, and this is your responsibility." Kind of a, you know, an off-track story there, but, you know, they didn't even realize that this problem was theirs.

So, you know, the whole fire protection thing, I don't want to just make a comment on it. We've been talking about this for, l've been there for five years, we've been talking about it for all of that. And, we'd actually like to try to get rid of that, because it's -- it's just it's ridiculous. I mean, some of the people that live there actually pay more for a fire protection system that doesn't use any water than they pay for the actual water that they use.

FROM THE FLOOR: Right.
MR. REED: So, you know, I just wanted
to -- I wanted to bring that up. And, you know, that's something that I think really needs to get resolved.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Beth Ann French.
MS. FRENCH: Good evening. And, thank
you for coming to Hampton to hear our response to this rate hike. I live on Juniper Lane, which is off of Huckleberry, or off 1A, on the North Hampton line. We are

Hampton, and it's called "Comcast". And, I pay a heck of a lot more for cable than I pay for water.

With regard to the proposed rate increase, consumers in Hampton are very fortunate to have an excellent private company, which provides a safe water supply in compliance with state and federal regulations. As a town, a "non-profit" we are continually in arrears regarding infrastructure improvements, and struggle each year to provide adequate sewer, drainage, and road construction.

Since their purchase of Hampton Water
Works in 2002, this Company has invested in major improvements to the system, including: Replacing the 1950s degraded water line on Little River Road; replacing the water storage tank on Mill Road with a larger capacity tank, which provides increased fire suppression capability; invested one and a half million dollars replacing the water lines at Hampton Beach, the highest fire risk area of town; and, per the major capital projects list attached, spent $\$ 5,238,523$ in the three towns for the years 2008 to 2011.

Any water volume from our hydrants related to fire suppression is not metered to Hampton. And, all property owners, with access to hydrants, pay
reduced premiums on their property insurance. The A Street fire alone was metered at about 2 million gallons. As former Hampton Moderator John Walker frequently observed, "there is no free lunch."

I have served in a volunteer capacity on the Hampton Water Works Advisory Council, and serve currently on the Aquarion Customer Advisory Council, along with other volunteers from Hampton, North Hampton, and Rye. We have the opportunity to make suggestions, ask questions, view the physical premises, including equipment monitoring the wells, and interact with Mr. McMorran and his outstanding staff. None of us who serve in this unofficial advisory capacity are compensated in any way. We pay our water bills like everyone else.

Unfortunately, in recent years, as consumers, we are paying more for home heating oil, gas, food, utilities, etcetera. Why should we expect that our water costs would remain the same? If Aquarion was just "treading water" and not investing in improvements, that would be a different circumstance. They have also worked successfully to encourage consumer and student awareness of the need for water conservation. Should they be punished for that? I no longer water my lawn, and I have installed water-efficient shower and toilet facilities in
is published for local officials by the New Hampshire Municipal Association. And, the title of the article says "Municipalities: Stewards of New Hampshire's Water Infrastructure". It refers to public water supplies, but it is likewise applicable to what we're discussing this evening.

And, it says: "Full Cost of Service Rate
Setting: Water rates should reflect the full cost of service, including infrastructure renewal. The United States has one of the lowest water and wastewater rates in the world, and New Hampshire has rates that are far lower than what one would pay for cable TV or internet services on a monthly basis. Municipalities should set rates that cover operational and maintenance costs, and the anticipated long-term capital needs of the system. There is no need for municipalities to apologize to ratepayers for pricing the service at its true cost. The public is best served when the true cost of providing the infrastructure services is reflected in the rates they pay. Over the past 50 years, rates have been generally lower than the true cost of the service, because system depreciation has not generally been built into the rates. This historic undercharging for these services has resulted in shifting past system depreciation costs to
my home substantially lowering my annual use.
This same Board of Selectmen, which is challenging the validity of the proposed rate increase, is presided over a crumbling infrastructure of roads, sewers and drainage, with inadequate staffing of our emergency service departments. I am grateful every day that a private company, which has been responsible and responsive, is providing us with a clean, reliable water supply.

Aquarion's management team used good business judgment this year in refinancing to lower the interest on their \$4 million of outstanding debt, while acquiring an additional 1 million at the same low rate to continue improvements to the system. Infrastructure needs, construction and reconstruction, will never go away. This company is in business to provide a service and gain a profit. As a taxpayer, I rely on you to determine what rate percentages are valid to protect all of our interests. And, I do not oppose a rate increase if you judge it to be appropriate.

And, one more -- I have two additions to my remarks that I have stapled and given to the reporter. And, the one that I want to share with you very briefly is from the November/December issue of Town and City, which
today and future generations. Sustainability requires funding system depreciation to avoid just "kicking the can down the road" and placing a bigger burden on future generations."

Thank you very much, gentlemen.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: You're welcome. Ben

## Moore?

MR. GRIFFIN: He's already spoken.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Oh. I'm sorry.
Okay. And, Philip Bean?
MR. GRIFFIN: He spoke also.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Bob Landam

## [Landman?].

MR. LANDMAN: Good evening, gentlemen.
Thank you for coming here. I am the Co-Chairman of the North Hampton Water Commission. And, I just came from Concord this morning, where I was at the technical session with your Staff. We're very concerned about these increases. And, unlike Ms. Woolsey, I understand that there's another component to this. Besides the costs, which you're asking to cover, is the rate increase profit. As one of the Selectmen said, 12 percent. I can borrow money currently on my business at 2.75 percent. It's very cheap. My interest rate on my bank account, well, it's a
few cents, literally. This is a very risk-free operation. My business is the electric utility industry. I've been in it for 27 years. And, l've traveled around, and besides electric utilities, also municipalities that do water, gas, and so forth. I understand the business.

And, before I start some of my prepared
remarks, I would just comment on one thing about the fire protection. I've had a continual argument with the Commission about how fire rates are measured, how they're -- how they're expensed. The water, most of the water in this system comes from North Hampton. To get to Hampton, it has to go through pipes, which are transmission lines. The fire hydrants are connected to them around the town. You couldn't have water here if there weren't pipes. The size of the pipes has to be slightly larger to accommodate water flows at 3,500 gallons per minute for three hours. And, they had a rate case, and they have had a rate expert talking about the cost. It's nothing like what we pay, what the people pay for sprinklers, and what we pay, as towns, that the taxpayers have to pay. It is a lot more.

The infrastructure is here to provide water to people to drink, to bathe, to water their gardens, if they want. Fire protection, we have one structure fire a year one. One. We have 147 hydrants.

Fuller. "Would you still charge us?" That's a good question, isn't it? I mean, the system's there. What if we just leave? And, we go to another pumper truck. He have a tanker, we have one. What if we buy another? Would we still get a bill? You get bills when you don't use water. Why shouldn't we get bills if we tear out the -- if we cap the hydrants? The hydrants are also used for flushing the system. You can't clean the pipes without opening them. And, if you've been around here long enough, you see them running down the streets as they go annually. So, there's another reason to have those hydrants there. And, the pipes would be there regardless. Yes, the water tanks are larger. But they have to serve a summer load of twice as much as the average annual load of $\mathbf{2}$ million gallons. That's not for fire protection; that's to serve the Beach. Let's be realistic about this, and let's get some engineering sense up there at the Commission, gentlemen. That can look at this as a system like an electric system. Where you've got distribution charges, transmission charges, and usage charges, and they're separated. And, you measure the components, you calculate them, your Staff looks at them, and proportions it accordingly. What's the usage rate of a fire hydrant? It's zero. We got one -- we used one. That's it.

I'll get down to my prepared testimony. Aquarion's proposed hydrant fee for North Hampton fire hydrants is inequitable and excessive. In 2004, the hydrant fee was $\$ 1,701$ per hydrant, per year, at a time when Exeter was charging $\$ 40$ and Portsmouth was charging $\$ 100$. That's the difference between a municipal system and a private equity system. There's something wrong here. Portsmouth, I know that the Department of Public Works Manager. You can't -- and, Greenland, by the way, also gets the same water rates, because they're connected to the Portsmouth system. If they can service hydrants, and they have a lot more of them than we do here, and provide the service, and charge the Town of Greenland and Portsmouth 100 bucks a year, what are we doing paying $\$ 1,701$, and then being asked to pay 18 percent more, up to 21 percent, which turns out, for our small town, over $\$ 44,000$ increase, in the hundreds of thousands of dollars we pay a year for hydrants we don't use? Incidentally, I asked at the Commission, "what would happen if we got rid of half of the hydrants?" The Company said, "well, we'd have to charge you twice as much, because, of course, we've got this fixed cost." "Well, what if we get rid of all the hydrants?" We actually asked that today, one of my commissioners, Mr.
is proposed to be 1,757 per hydrant, from 1,701, an increase of over 23 percent over existing rates. The bill for North Hampton's 147 hydrants is sent to the Board of Selectmen and is passed along to all North Hampton property owners in their property tax bills.

Approximately half of North Hampton residents are not water customers. One of my fellow commissioners, Mr. Harned, is here. He lives on the west part of town, he's got a well. But, you know what, his tax bill is funding for water hydrants that he can't get to. He has no fire protection served by this company. He never will. They will never extend the system. Parts of the eastern portion of our town, Mill Road, Woodland Road, have no water. They have wells. But they're paying taxes, and very high ones.

So, those without water service have no
benefit from the hydrant charge, though, they pay for the hydrant expense in their property tax bills. This is unfair to these property owners. The hydrant expense should be allocated to those that receive hydrant service.

The proposed water rate increase is
simply too large by any measure, as many have said here tonight. Homeowners and taxpayers cannot afford to pay
this enormous proposed increase. It is not realistic. The Company is making money, it's covering its expenses with the rate increase it's asking for, a modest one. We certainly are not saying "don't stop repairing the system, don't stop paying for necessary replacement. We have a pipe being replaced built in 1907, of course, you're going to replace it, and hydrants occasionally need to be replaced.

We're talking about profit. This is a risk-free business. Yes, it's not a guarantied rate of return. But there's a minimum rate of return, and it's nice. I wish I could get the minimum rate of return in my business. And, there are probably some other business people in this building that wish that somebody -- that somehow they could magically wave a wand and you could get guaranteed money, kind of like Comcast.

The Company can borrow money at historically low short-term and long-term interest rates. By the way, today they testified that they loan money to themselves from the parent company at 3 percent. If they can get money from themselves at 3 percent, why can't they get it everywhere else? And, if that's all it costs, why are they asking for 10 . something percent profit? It doesn't make sense. This low interest rate environment
rate.
The Vice President mentioned that he has
done something about water leaks, and there's -- I think
they said something about a grant, there should be more
done on that. There is still leakage that needs to be covered.

There exists little incentive to use
more water under existing rates. In fact, at the
Selectmen's meeting one night, and I was talking about this proposed rate increase and asking for the permission to go up to Concord. And, I said, "you know, what they're basically tell us is, "go home and leave your taps on." The more you use the cheaper it will be." The converse of the opposite, when you use less, you pay more, right? I mean, the math just doesn't -- it doesn't work.

The new meters allow for monthly meter reading, when it's $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ percent in the system, and the billing should be supported by a two-tier rate that charges a higher price for large water users. This will encourage conservation, more conservation, and shift more of the cost to large water users. If somebody wants to water their lawn all day long, why should everybody else have to pay for it and have that capacity in the system? It's very, very bizarre.
does not support such a rate increase period.
The proposed rate increase is far above
the rate of inflation, as some have said, and it's far greater than wage growth, what wage growth, and the cost of living increases that retirees receive, as Mike has said.

Rate structure: A two-tier rate structure should be considered to encourage conservation and require large water users to pay higher rates. They're putting in, we've been asking for years for the radio remote reads, for two reasons, and I'll explain it to everyone. Yes, Fred, it's cheaper, I mean, you can go by and read the meters. But the leakage rate in this system is about 15 percent, and that loss they get to charge us for. It's built in. It's lost money. They're pumping the water, energy is being used. It's leaking out of bad pipes. Having the meters being able to be read 24/7/365, means that, when everybody is asleep, most of us are anyway, they can look at the loss, they can look at the rate of the water flow, and they can figure out where the pipes are leaking. Companies have done this and gotten rates as -- leakage rates as low as 10 percent. And, that ought to be a goal of the Commission, to reduce the waste that the Company has by reducing their leak

But, yes, the Company is doing a better job, Carl McMorran is doing a very good job, the people are competent. But this rate of increase is not justified. There's no valid reason, in this 21st century, in this economic doldrum, which is second only to the Great Depression, that they get ten percent. It's outrageous, and you should reject it. Thank you, gentlemen.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Bob Landam?
MR. LANDMAN: Landman, L-a-n-d-m-a-n.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: "Landman", sorry.

## And, --

MR. LANDMAN: Oh, excuse me, Commissioners, I forgot one point.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, Mr. Landman, you submitted --

MR. LANDMAN: Yes. It is not in there, it's not submitted.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
MR. LANDMAN: Which is that we have
asked, the last time there was a rate increase, the rate for hydrants was half the rate for users. This time, they want parity, as the counsel has said. We disagree. At the bare minimum, we should be at half, because there's no
usage. So, if there has to be an increase, I think you should continue that practice. And, we'd like to see that rolled back more, because the cost of fire service is ridiculously high. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Art Gopalan? MR. GOPALAN: Gopalan. CMSR. HARRINGTON: "Gopalan". MR. GOPALAN: Yes. Thank you. Thank
you, Commissioners, for coming here and hearing the tale, tale of woe. I was here, it was not here, but it was in Winnacunnet High School, back in 2009, and the rate increase was proposed was 22 percent or so.

By the way, I submitted a letter to you back in July of 2012, when I learned about the water increases that the Aquarion Company filed with you. And, in that letter, and I'm going to repeat that letter, because I have never heard a response. That's one of the characteristics that I found out about Public Utility Commission is that you never get a response.

So, I'm going to begin my letter. Some
of it is going to overlap with the comments that others have made previously, but, nevertheless, it should be instructive to go through them.
"Dear Commissioners of NHPUC. I'm a

## increase." Great.

"If the calculated rate of return was not realized, that would be Aquarion's responsibility.

They were the ones that calculated the figures and asked for the rate increase to justify the return. Why should the ratepayers be asked to shore up their shortcomings in the management of the Company?"

So, I posed three simple questions. I did it back in 2009, and I'm going to do it again. "Please answer me the following simple questions: Why on earth should we be asked to guarantee an eye-popping rate of return of 9 percent on capital?" And, I guess they are going for ten and a quarter, to make sure they get it.
"And an overall rate of return of 7 percent?" As somebody previously mentioned, "The 10-year Treasury is running about one and a half percent." People are not getting that kind of pay raises or Social Security increases and so forth.

Second, "what is Aquarion risking to be asking for those kinds of returns? Nothing, as far as I can see. They even have the ratepayers pay for the filing costs." As a matter of fact, I would, if I were an investor, I would encourage Aquarion to engage in more activity, because I can be making 9 percent on that
ratepayer, also known as "customer", in Hampton, New Hampshire, and a taxpayer. I'm lodging my protest against the subject filing by Aquarion Water Company calling for a rate increase of 18 percent with a call for an immediate temporary increase of 12 percent." And, since then, you have awarded 8.8 percent.
"Just in 2009, the NHPUC granted a 22 percent increase, while lowering that number, still an increase, as some people have talked about, the fire hydrant discharge." That's all well and good. But, ultimately, the total operating cost increase is going to be made up, which means that the ratepayers are going to pay for it. "That increase was approved based on an overall rate of return of Aquarion of 7.72 percent. In its current filing, part of the reason cited by Aquarion was the realization of lower return than what was calculated in 2009 Settlement. It also cites increases in operating expenses and declining water sales for the current rate increase filing. With regards to the latter reason," that is reduced water consumption, "Aquarion promoted strongly water use conservation", there's really nothing wrong with that, I think it's great, "during its campaign for a rate increase in 2009. Now, it says that declining water sales is causing them to ask for a rate
activity whether I need it or not.
"In 2009, Aquarion cited replacement of water tank in Mill Road as an investment that they needed to recoup through water rate increase. If the ratepayers pay for that, they needed" -- "pay for that identified asset developed by Aquarion with a very attractive rate of return, at the end why doesn't the rate get adjusted downward once it is done? Why wouldn't the ratepayers as an entity own that asset from that point on?"

> I used to be familiar with DOD
contracts, and on cost-less contracts, the government, and sometimes foreign governments, they own all the equipment, tooling, and so on and so forth. So, if we are paying -asked to pay for investment that the Company makes, at the end, and it is recouped with 9 percent rate of return, why doesn't the title to that piece of equipment belong to the ratepayers?
"Aquarion is a water distribution company. It should not be holding titles to the assets that the ratepayers have specifically paid for. It is time that we decentralize water, just as energy production and distribution had been."

I think Representative Rice mentioned
that he is willing to file legislation. I think he could
be of help here, because we need to get to the bottom of the mechanism involved here. Because I'm not accusing Aquarion of anything, because they are operating within the law set up by the government.
"The towns involved must be able to bid
the water distribution job, what Aquarion is doing, on a three-year contract basis. The companies entrusted in that business must be asked to bid on it every three years. We need competition. Perpetuation of government sanctioned monopoly will always hold the customers at its mercy. We have a clear example of it here. Utility monopoly model belonged in 1930s, but it is no longer relevant today."

I think the electric utilities are being decentralized to a large extent. Distribution and production are two different entities. So, I urge you to look at this, and perhaps make recommendations to the legislators to remove water distribution and production, as two separate entities, and make some fundamental changes, because, otherwise, we will be here again in two years looking to or protesting another rate increase. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you very much.
And, just so you're clear, sir, we do have a copy of your
and I'm an Aquarion customer. And, I'm also -- I will be a State Representative in about a week, I was elected. And, I just have a few comments. I really want to thank you for coming down here. I want to thank the Board of Selectmen for acting on behalf of the Town and having an intervention in this case.

The word that comes to mind is a
firefighter. Because the amount of money that's being requested for the increase for the cost of the fire hydrants in the Town of Hampton is the equivalent of a firefighter's position. And, the Town ought not to be put in that situation. Where, you know, we're asked to have to choose between, you know, paying additional costs for fire hydrants and maintaining a person who actually does the job.

I'm concerned about this being the path of least resistance here in New Hampshire. You know, Aquarion sees its New Hampshire operations as kind of a small, little operation. And, in the context of the State of New Hampshire, these three towns don't add up to very much. We don't have a lot of political influence, and it's not like it's a larger, you know, utility monopoly that's going to come before the Commission.

But I would ask you to exercise your
letter right here. And, to just to let you know the way that works. You come in and you make comments on a particular case, we don't respond to the letter. I suppose, maybe we could proactively get back and tell people we received it, but, beyond telling you we received it, we're really not at liberty to comment on, until we've gone through this whole process and come up with an actual ruling on the rate. We're just -- we're not allowed to come back and say "we think this is a good idea or a bad idea" at this time.

But we do have the letter, and they will be read by all the Commissioners, and they're in the official record, as part of the things we consider during the deliberations on this particular issue.

MR. GOPALAN: Thank you.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, I think there
was one more. Robert, is it "Cushing", maybe? The person who gave me this one [indicating]? I just can't quite get the last name.

MR. CUSHING: Sure. Cushing.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: "Cushing"? Thank
you.
MR. CUSHING: Thank you, Commissioners. I'm Robert Renny Cushing. I live on 395 Winnacunnet Road,
responsibility to be sensitive to the needs of the people of Hampton and to reject the rate increase. I couldn't help but notice, as I was doing some research on Aquarion, that earlier this year the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities rejected an 18 percent rate increase that had been acted -- asked for Aquarion in some of its Massachusetts subsidiaries. And, it rejected it, the DPU cut that rate increase in half. I think you can go beyond that. I think what's being asked is really, you know, it's pretty -- it's really high.
I'm concerned about Aquarion churning assets, or, more specifically, the parent company of Aquarion, you know, Macquarie, Limited, of Sydney, Australia, through its subsidiaries, churning the assets of the Company. We've seen the ownership in the past decade of what used to be our Hampton Water Works, what then was the American Water Works Company, get bought out by Kelda Corporation, in, you know, in the United Kingdom, and then, subsequent to that, it gets bought out by Macquarie Bank, which changes its name to "Macquarie, Limited". And, through the whole process, what we see are decisions that are being made that affect the people in this community aren't being made here. They're not even being made really in Concord, they not even really being
made in Connecticut. They're being made in Sydney, Australia.

And, you have to be the force on behalf
of the people in this community that says "no" to this
rate increase, as, you know, it's just way too much. And,
I ask you not to be the path of least resistance. And, thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Is there anyone else
that would like to speak or submit anything written? I see a hand back there. If you could just state your name clearly and your address for the reporter, so we'll have a record of it please.

MS. SANPHY: Sure. Diandra Sanphy.
MR. PATNAUDE: Could you spell that.
MS. SANPHY: D-i-a-n-d-r-a S-a-n-p-h-y.
MR. PATNAUDE: Thank you.
MS. SANPHY: It's easy.
MR. PATNAUDE: Yes. Just like it
sounds.
MS. SANPHY: I'm a taxpayer and resident here in Hampton. I don't believe this is anything more to do than mismanagement by your parent company, Macquarie. An 18.5 percent rate increase is absurd to cover costs associated with pension increases for your upper
rate -- this rate increase. I think it absolutely is not going to help with improving anything. I don't we use, as many people have already said, we don't use our hydrants every single day, month, it's once a year I think we have a major fire. And, I'm just very upset seeing that at 18.5 percent. And, the many years that you have asked for the rate increases, that you've been given them. And, that you continue to do that and continue to put this on the backs of people who, themselves, are not receiving 18.5 percent raises in their pay every year, and even every other year, or every five years.

So, I'm opposed to this, and am just absolutely disgusted that this Company would ask again for another rate increase and put it on the backs of people who are having been hit the hardest in the economy, and that is your local taxpayers. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Someone else in the back, do you want to speak? Yes, if you just pass that up to me please. Thank you, sir.

MR. ZNOJ: Right. Yes. My name is Jerry Znoj, here a resident, 16 Presidential Circle, in Hampton. It is a monopoly, there's no question about that. We can't go down and change, you know, companies. We're locked in, we're wired in, we're piped in. So,
management. You need to clean up your act, and not take it out on the people, who are doing the job to conserve, as you promote on your webpage, as well as in your quarterly bills.

The fire department takes care of -- I also have a hydrant in front of my home, the fire department takes care of plowing out our hydrant. So that, if there is a fire, we are going to be safe. If our fire department is overburdened, then my neighbors and myself take care of it. Aquarion does not.

I've lived there for ten years. It has been painted once. They have come out on several occasions to flush the pipes through the hydrant. One time, in particular, an Aquarion service member wanted to show the kids in the neighborhood, who had gathered around to watch, opening up it larger, tearing into a large maple tree we had in front of our house, which is on our property, and not the 10 feet that Aquarion owns in front of our house. When I called Aquarion to complain about this, I was told that it actually was the Town of Hampton that was responsible, and not Aquarion themselves. And, in fact, I find out that it actually is your responsibility.

I personally am opposed to this percent
we're captive.
What bothers me most, I know the rate increase is preposterous. But there are two things that bug me that really haven't been brought up tonight. One is, we don't participate in the planning. We don't know what's going to go in next year or the year after or the year after that. I mean, it's -- these are decisions made by Aquarion, based on what they perceive as their needs. I don't know if it's mandatory maintenance, or things that really should be done or nice to be done, or must be done. We have no insight into that. Perhaps, if we did, we'd empathize more. I don't know. I'd certainly like to here it, ask questions about it, push back. I don't think that's going on.

And, number two, the other side of it, are cost controls. Their budgets, we don't see their budgets. We don't get an opportunity to scrutinize them, analyze them, push back, squeeze, push. Grill them. We don't have any chance on that.

So, we're captive, because it's a
monopoly. We don't understand what their planning's all about, we don't have any insight into that. They could come and say "we think we should replace the water tower at Hampton Beach, on Church Street, because of" -- because
of, we can't question, we can't push back, we don't understand, no proactivity on our part. And, we have no
real good squeeze control over their budgets. And, here
we are, there with a rate increase. So, we are being
victimized as a result of it.
So, no planning that we have the insight
for, and no squeeze on the cost controls. And, so, here it is, and you're at the end of the line now with an 18 or 20 percent increase, we're at the end of the line now. It's kind of late, in my opinion. More proactive work has got to be done by Aquarion with their customers, the three towns.

Give them the chance to participate in the planning. Tell them what they're planning on doing. Let the pushback occur. They might change their mind, they may change their decisions. And, also, lay their budget out there. I could guarantee you that, I'd love to see line item by line on every one of their expenses. If revenues are falling, any manpower cuts have been made? When commercial industry or military industry undergo a loss of sales, the doors open up and people walk out. They reduce the manpower. There are adjustments made. Since 1989 and 1990 time frame, industry has gone through a catharsis of change as a result of
heard enough about the -- or, at least you've heard enough probably about the 18.3 percent rate increase. I want to talk a little bit about the hydrant increase of 22.51 percent. These type of increases go back to a case in the Town of Pittsfield in the 1990s where I represented the Town. In that case, the rate increase for the Town, because it was -- the system was sold, and they were forced to build a bland new treatment plant for very few questions, was in excess of $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ percent of the rate. The Commission decided that was too high. So, they dropped the rate to 150 percent, and put all the remaining money on the hydrants. They then adopted, at that very meeting, a position by the Commission, you may have changed it since then, that, in situations where large rate increases are anticipated, that a certain share of that increase would be put upon the hydrant systems over and above the increase for the regular customers of the town. That's inappropriate. Where is a town the same customer as the individual homeowners that take water service.

Now, we pay a healthy fee for that
service. It's time that the rates be equalized, and that the town not be paid a penalty or be paying a penalty for water service for hydrant systems.

My other concern is the fact that
competitiveness and cost controls. We changed the way we purchase, we change the way we inspect. They have merged departments, and we gain synergy as a result of it. Really, a lot of learning has gone on, training has occurred, since 1990, over 20 years.

And, some outfits, like Aquarion, I wonder about, or any of these monopoly-type companies. You know, is there any incentive for them to do these things? Lean thinking. You know, lean, lean out the people. Compressing of the organization, so we don't have managers reporting to directors, who report to VPs, who report to, you know, presidents, and so on, and layers of salaries has been compressed.

I don't know. I don't know if that's going on with Aquarion. I'd like to be convinced that it is. But I heard some cost reductions today, and I'd like to push on them, get a chance to squeeze them. I don't know if they're real.

But, anyway, that's kind of where I'm coming from. Thank you.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Anybody else who would like to speak?

MR. WELCH: Mr. Chairman, Frederick Welch, Town Manager of the Town of Hampton. We've all
there's no consideration here for the economy. My office oversees the welfare costs for the Town of Hampton. And, I can tell you, they're not decreasing. They are increasing, because we have more and more people who are in financial situations that require that assistance. Many of them have been forced out of their homes and are now in a rental property, but they can't afford the water costs either. The landlords are being forced to pay for them, and, in many cases, they're coming to the Town for help and assistance in paying that. These rate increases aren't going to help that. What we're looking at here is a three-year cycle, it appears to us. We don't know what's going to happen in the next three years. But, if you approve the WICA charges for the next two, it appears, at the end of that two-year period, you'll have another petition for two years' worth of WICA charges and another petition for a rate increase. You can't keep on doing that every three years. There has to be some valve, some relief, some place where that pressure can go.

Now, the only chance the Town has to, once those increases get really large, is to purchase out the utility, just plain take it. It's been done before. In Pittsfield, I worked upon the Pennichuck acquisition,
because the Town was part of the Pennichuck system. I
spent 21 years in municipal water, sewer, and electric
light systems in Massachusetts. And, I can tell you that
your position, with regards to rates, is considerably
different from that of the Commonwealth and the Department
of Public Utilities. I think you should take a hard look
at some of the things that they have done, I think you
should take a hard look at the rate increases that they
just went through and the analysis they went through on the Aquarion increases down in Massachusetts. There must
have been a reason why they found it was necessary to cut the size of that increase. And, that could be because of
the simple cash reserves.
But you need to know that before you can
make a decision, a sound decision on what Aquarion has asked for here. If these are justified, then you're going
to approve it. If they're not justified, because of other
financial considerations and matters that are currently
not in evidence, you need to have that knowledge. And, in
getting that knowledge, you need to help the taxpayers of
this town. Thank you.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Is there anyone else
who would like to speak?
(No verbal response)
be part of the public record.
(Whereupon the hearing ended at 8:50 p.m.)

| \$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 61[1] 2 / 20 \\ & 610,000 \text { people [1] 26/9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,113,931 \text { [2] } 3 / 2416 / 1 \\ & \$ 1,701[2] 54 / 454 / 15 \\ & \$ 1.1 \text { [1] } 8 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 million gallons [2] 10/16 49/2 2.4 million gallons [1] 7/6 | 69 [1] 2/21 |
|  |  | 7 |
| \$1.1 million [1] 8/3 | 20 [2] 20/18 74/5 | nt [2] 28/4 63/14 |
| \$100 [1] 54/6 | 20 percent [1] 73/9 | 7,700 [1] 7/1 |
| \$130 [1] 30/20 | 200 percent [1] 75/9 | 7.72 percent [1] 62/14 |
| \$14,000 [1] 9/9 | 2000 [1] 19/9 | 71 [1] 2/22 |
| \$16,000 [1] 9/6 | 2002 [1] 48/12 | 74 [1] 2/23 |
| \$18,000 [1] 9/4 | 2004 [1] 54/3 | 7:00 [1] 3/13 |
| \$3.50 [1] 34/1 | 2006 [1] 19/9 | 7:03 [1] 1/4 |
| \$383 [1] 34/10 | 2008 [1] 48/21 | 8 |
| \$4 [1] 50/12 | 2009 [8] 17/1 19/9 61/11 62/7 62/17 62/23 | 8 |
| \$4 million [1] 50/12 | 63/9 64/2 | 8 percent [1] 28/5 |
| \$40 [1] 54/5 | 2010 [2] 10/14 10/15 | 8.8 [2] 4/11 32/23 |
| \$425 [1] 34/9 | 2011 [3] 16/22 26/4 48/21 | 8.8 percent [4] 15/7 15/9 15/17 62/6 |
| \$44,000 [1] 54/17 | 2012 [9] 1/4 3/14 3/19 3/21 4/12 12/19 | 80 [1] 20/19 |
| \$5,000 [1] 9/14 | 26/2 56/1 61/14 | 8:50[1] 79/2 |
| \$5,238,523 [1] 48/20 | $2013 \text { [1] 19/9 }$ | 9 |
| $\$ 71,863 \text { [1] 16/22 }$ | 21 [2] 54/16 77/2 <br> 21 percent [1] 28/1 | nt [4] 36/4 63/12 63/24 64/15 |
| \$732,078 [1] 4/2 | 214,000 [1] 16/3 | 9,100 [1] 3/18 |
| \$80 [1] 20/22 | 21st [1] 60/4 | 9.75 percent [1] 14/12 |
| 0 | 22 [3] 2/9 9/20 62/7 | 9.9 [1] 17/3 |
| 085 [5] 1/9 3/5 12/20 13/8 78/22 | 22 percent [1] 61/12 <br> 22.51 percent [2] 16/18 75/4 | A |
| 1 | 23 [1] 56/3 | a 22 [1] 62/7 |
|  | 23rd [1] 26/2 | ability [2] 19/3 37/6 |
| 1 million [1] 50/13 | 24 [1] 2/10 | able [11] 5/16 6/8 7/9 8/20 17/22 19/12 |
| 1,701 [1] 56/2 | 24/7] [4] 7/9 8/13 10/11 11/13 | 31/10 38/6 38/14 58/17 65/5 |
| 1,757 [1] 56/2 | 24/7/365 [1] 58/18 | about [62] 6/21 6/21 6/24 7/1 7/5 7/7 7/20 |
| 1.64 [1] 22/21 | 24th [1] 3/9 | 8/3 8/7 8/15 8/15 8/16 9/4 9/6 9/8 9/14 9/18 |
| 10 [2] 31/4 57/23 | 25 [1] 21/8 | 9/20 10/5 10/16 18/8 18/14 18/16 18/16 |
| 10 feet [1] 70/18 | 25,412 [1] 4/10 | 18/24 20/1 20/8 21/2 33/14 36/20 38/21 |
| 10 percent [2] 8/15 58/22 | 268 [1] 16/19 | 44/8 45/2 46/9 46/10 49/2 52/18 53/7 53/9 |
| 10,000 [1] 26/19 | 27 [2] 26/18 53/3 | 53/18 55/16 57/9 58/14 59/3 59/4 59/9 |
| 10-year [1] 63/15 | 28 [2] 1/4 31/8 | 61/14 61/18 62/9 63/16 67/2 67/16 68/11 |
| 10.25 percent [2] 14/12 23/19 | 28th [1] 3/14 | 70/19 71/22 72/13 72/22 74/7 75/1 75/2 |
| 100 [3] 1/5 3/13 54/14 | 29 [1] 2/11 | 75/3 78/5 |
| 100 percent [1] 59/17 | 3 | above [2] 58/2 75/16 |
| 12 percent [2] 52/22 62/5 | 3 percent [2] 57/20 57/21 | absurd [1] 69/23 |
| 12-085 [5] 1/9 3/5 12/20 13/8 78/22 | 3,500 gallons [1] 53/16 | access [2] 16/22 48/24 |
| 12.3 percent [1] 15/8 | 3.48 percent [1] 23/1 | accommodate [1] 53/15 |
| 13 [1] 2/4 | 3.75 [1] 34/2 | accordingly [1] 55/23 |
| 137 miles [1] 7/18 | 30-year [2] 22/23 23/2 | account [2] 37/13 52/24 |
| 147 [2] 53/24 56/4 | 33 [2] 2/12 29/8 | accounted [1] 14/5 |
| 148 [1] 47/22 | 35 [1] 2/13 | Accounting [1] 29/10 |
| 14th [1] 3/21 | 36 [1] 2/14 | accusing [1] 65/2 |
| 15 [1] 2/7 | 365 [1] 58/18 | achieved [1] 9/2 |
| 15 percent [2] 8/8 58/14 | 37 [1] 2/15 | acquire [1] 31/19 |
| 150 percent [1] 75/11 | 37 percent [1] 27/24 | acquired [1] 29/17 |
| 16 [1] 71/21 | 385 gallons [1] 34/22 | acquiring [1] 50/13 |
| 17.44 [1] 17/4 | 395 [1] 66/24 | acquisition [7] 25/24 26/5 26/7 26/16 |
| $\text { 17th [1] } 4 / 4$ <br> 18 [6] 2/8 7/12 19/23 36/13 36/21 73/8 | 4 | 26/23 27/2 76/24 |
| ```18 percent [6] 21/9 28/1 36/5 54/15 62/4 68/5``` | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { percent [2] 32/4 40/6 } \\ & 44 \text { [1] } 2 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | across [2] 7/18 11/5 act [1] 70/1 |
| 18.3 percent [3] 14/1 15/24 75/2 | 46 [1] 2/17 | acted [1] 68/6 |
| 18.5 percent [3] 69/23 71/6 71/10 | 47 [2] 2/18 26/9 | acting [1] 67/5 |
| 18th [2] 3/6 4/9 | 481 [1] 16/19 | active [1] 31/9 |
| 19 percent [1] 28/2 | 4th [1] 3/19 | activity [2] 63/24 64/1 |
| 19.21 [1] 16/2 | 5 | actual [3] 31/3 46/15 66/7 |
| 1930s [1] 65/12 | 5 percent [1] 32/1 | 54/24 67/14 70/20 70/22 |
| 1950 [2] 21/8 21/9 | 50 [2] 20/3 51/20 | adamant [1] 45/17 |
| 1950s [1] 48/14 | 50 percent [1] 18/14 | add [5] 7/14 7/15 15/16 30/4 67/20 |
| 1968 [1] 18/9 | 52 [2] 1/23 2/19 | added [3] 14/19 14/20 20/20 |
| 1989 [1] 73/23 | 53,000 gallons [1] 9/19 | adding [2] 20/15 26/19 |
| 1990 [2] 73/23 74/5 | 546 [1] 44/23 | addition [2] 26/10 27/11 |
| 1990s [1] 75/5 | 6 | additional [3] 15/17 50/13 67/13 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 1A [1] } 46 / 24 \\ \text { 1st [1] } \\ 4 / 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { percent [3] 23/4 28/4 32/5 } \\ & 60 \text { [1] } 2 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | address [3] 4/18 27/13 69/11 <br> adequate [1] 48/9 |

adjusted [1] 64/7
Adjustment [1] 14/18
adjustments [1] 73/22
admit [1] 21/16
adopted [1] 75/12
advance [1] 28/22
advisory [3] 49/6 49/7 49/13
Advocate [3] 4/6 5/9 15/11
Affairs [1] 78/15
affect [1] 68/22
afford [3] 21/1 56/24 76/7
after [6] 11/23 12/8 27/22 30/18 72/6 72/7
afternoon [1] 25/19
afterthought [1] 26/14
again [12] 11/20 11/24 14/20 28/6 38/20
39/4 39/14 44/9 44/16 63/9 65/20 71/13
against [1] 62/2
agencies [1] 43/16
ages [1] 7/24
ago [2] 38/8 42/6
agreement [4] 4/5 4/9 4/11 32/21
all [54] 3/2 3/14 6/17 8/12 10/1 10/9 10/17
10/18 11/7 11/23 12/8 12/22 12/23 13/2
13/8 13/10 13/11 16/15 18/12 18/15 18/16
19/1 19/16 19/21 22/4 22/5 22/6 23/18 29/3
29/7 29/24 32/10 33/21 35/18 35/23 39/16 42/3 42/8 43/9 46/10 48/24 50/18 54/23
56/5 57/22 59/22 62/10 64/12 66/12 72/21
74/24 75/11 78/14 78/23
allocated [1] 56/21
allow [5] 22/23 23/3 36/3 40/5 59/16
allowable [1] 22/19
allowed [5] 25/4 25/4 25/7 25/13 66/8
allows [2] 25/15 32/11
almost [1] 30/21
alone [4] 19/10 19/20 21/1 49/2
along [2] 49/7 56/5
already [7] 13/1 23/11 23/20 45/1 47/14 52/8 71/3
also [24] 3/24 5/15 7/3 7/5 7/15 7/21 8/21
22/12 37/16 41/4 43/14 44/23 45/2 49/20
52/11 53/4 54/10 55/7 62/1 62/17 67/1 70/6 73/16 78/14
always [3] 18/7 30/14 65/10
am [8] 6/18 22/11 35/16 38/11 50/6 52/15
70/24 71/12
amazed [1] 44/24
America [1] 25/22
American [1] 68/17
among [1] 24/15
amongst [1] 7/20
amount [5] 7/22 30/2 35/8 44/24 67/8
amounts [1] 9/14
Amy [1] 5/16
analysis [1] 77/9
analyze [1] 72/18
Ann [1] 46/20
annoyed [1] 31/8
annual [3] 16/18 50/1 55/14
annually [1] 55/11
another [14] 19/2 20/10 31/13 38/9 47/24
52/20 55/3 55/4 55/11 65/21 71/14 76/16 76/17 78/19
answer [3] 6/8 37/7 63/10
answers [1] 11/24
anticipated [2] 51/15 75/15
any [19] $12 / 24$ 19/1 20/2 20/3 25/6 28/22
35/11 36/15 38/23 46/14 48/22 49/13 56/23
72/19 72/22 73/19 74/7 74/8 78/13
anybody [4] 5/4 6/1 28/17 74/21
anybody's [1] 37/2
anyone [2] 69/8 77/22
anything [12] 6/6 6/10 18/16 20/3 21/6

23/8 28/17 37/18 65/3 69/9 69/21 71/2
anyway [3] 21/23 58/19 74/19
anywhere [2] 14/14 19/3
apologize [2] 28/21 51/16
apparently [1] 23/4
appearances [2] 1/15 1/15
appears [3] 25/9 76/13 76/15
applicable [1] 51/5
application [2] 6/22 22/17
applied [1] 10/1
appointment [1] 42/4
appreciate [7] 6/17 10/7 11/20 15/3 23/18 33/10 36/14
appropriate [2] 4/19 50/20
approve [2] 76/15 77/17
approved [4] 4/10 31/8 32/22 62/13
approximately [2] 3/18 56/7
April [1] 3/19
AQUARION [57] 1/9 2/3 3/5 3/17 3/20 3/22
3/24 6/14 15/23 16/1 16/20 17/12 17/15
24/19 25/18 25/20 26/17 26/22 27/11 27/12
27/19 29/15 29/17 30/14 42/5 45/14 49/7
49/18 61/15 62/3 62/14 62/15 62/20 63/19
63/23 64/2 64/6 64/18 65/3 65/6 67/1 67/18
68/3 68/6 68/11 68/13 70/10 70/14 70/18
70/19 70/21 72/8 73/11 74/6 74/15 77/10
77/15
Aquarion's [3] 50/10 54/2 63/3
are [109]
area [3] 10/12 30/11 48/19
areas [2] 8/5 8/5
aren't [4] 17/16 43/22 68/23 76/11
arena [1] 8/7
argue [1] 19/10
argued [1] 45/15
argument [1] 53/8
arms [1] 38/21
around [9] 13/10 37/2 38/21 42/23 45/16
53/3 53/13 55/9 70/15
arrears [1] 48/7
Art [1] 61/5
article [1] 51/2
as [92]
ask [10] 4/20 28/8 36/3 37/20 49/9 62/24
67/24 69/6 71/13 72/13
asked [15] 14/7 54/15 54/19 54/24 60/21
63/4 63/6 63/11 64/14 65/8 67/12 68/6 68/9
71/6 77/16
asking [13] 20/11 21/4 22/22 23/2 30/2
31/6 42/24 52/21 57/3 57/23 58/10 59/10
63/20
asleep [1] 58/18
asset [2] 64/6 64/9
assets [3] 64/19 68/12 68/14
assistance [2] 76/5 76/10
associated [1] 69/24
Association [1] 51/2
astronomical [2] 34/20 35/8
at [91]
attached [1] 48/20
attempt [1] 33/15
attention [1] 41/16
attorney [10] 2/4 13/18 20/13 24/21 25/20
28/7 38/11 38/12 44/5 46/1
attract [1] 25/5
attractive [1] 64/6
audience [3] 18/6 18/12 22/12
August [1] 4/4
Australia [2] 68/14 69/2
authority [1] 37/7
authorized [1] 38/14
automated [1] 42/21
automatically [1] 42/14
availability [1] 26/21
available [3] 11/23 12/8 78/19

Avenue [1] 29/9
average [5] 7/6 9/18 9/18 22/24 55/14
avoid [3] 32/8 38/7 52/2
awarded [1] 62/6
aware [3] 25/4 47/3 78/8
awareness [1] 49/21
away [3] 41/24 42/15 50/16
awful [1] 39/24

## B

back [24] 18/12 18/17 19/14 20/12 22/2 25/10 38/17 40/14 41/4 41/4 41/24 45/17 61/3 61/11 61/14 63/9 66/4 66/9 69/10
71/18 72/13 72/18 73/1 75/4
background [3] 3/3 3/16 24/15
backs [3] 41/22 71/9 71/14
bad [6] 21/15 23/22 23/24 33/15 58/17
66/9
balance [1] 43/4
bank [2] 52/24 68/20
bare [1] 60/24
barrels [1] 47/4
Barthell [3] 2/11 28/24 29/8
based [3] 44/12 62/13 72/8
basement [1] 47/6
basically [2] 47/1 59/12
basis [7] 9/20 9/21 12/2 15/8 16/24 51/13
65/7
bathe [1] 53/22
be [112]
beach [5] 10/12 30/11 48/18 55/16 72/24
Bean [4] 2/10 24/13 41/21 52/10
became [1] 24/17
because [46] 5/17 8/23 13/19 17/9 17/19
21/17 21/21 21/22 23/6 23/24 27/16 29/21
30/10 32/13 32/18 33/14 33/15 34/15 38/11
39/15 39/20 41/7 42/21 46/11 47/9 51/21
54/10 54/22 60/24 61/3 61/17 63/24 65/1
65/2 65/3 65/20 67/8 72/20 72/24 72/24
75/7 76/4 77/1 77/12 77/17 78/4
been [35] 8/1 8/15 10/22 12/22 14/19
17/20 19/14 23/5 25/22 26/23 29/17 36/2 36/22 36/24 43/12 46/9 46/9 46/10 50/7 51/20 51/22 53/2 55/9 58/10 64/22 68/6
70/12 71/7 71/15 72/4 73/19 74/13 76/6 76/23 77/11
before [14] 6/11 11/3 13/23 14/22 27/22
28/11 32/10 32/17 32/18 47/23 53/6 67/23 76/23 77/14
begin [2] 47/24 61/20
beginning [1] 35/19
behalf [3] 28/6 67/5 69/3
being [34] 14/1 14/5 14/7 14/24 16/3 16/6
16/11 16/24 17/8 20/23 21/8 23/10 24/7
25/10 30/3 43/2 44/24 45/5 47/8 54/15 57/6
58/16 58/17 65/14 67/8 67/16 68/9 68/22
68/23 68/24 68/24 69/1 73/4 76/8
believe [3] 22/18 32/9 69/21
belong [1] 64/16
belonged [1] 65/12
Ben [2] 22/10 52/6
benefit [3] 5/3 19/12 56/18
benefits [1] 17/16
beside [1] 18/7
besides [3] 21/24 52/20 53/4
best [1] 51/18
Beth [1] 46/20
better [2] 38/18 60/1
between [3] 32/21 54/6 67/13
beyond [2] 66/5 68/8
bid [2] 65/5 65/8
big [5] 29/23 30/1 31/17 34/12 45/22
bigger [1] 52/3
biggest [1] 38/3
bill [11] 11/7 20/20 30/16 34/8 34/8 34/10
bill... [5] 34/17 42/16 55/5 56/3 56/11
billing [1] 59/18
bills [6] 49/14 55/5 55/6 56/6 56/19 70/4
bit [7] 3/3 6/21 6/24 21/16 24/9 39/9 75/3
bizarre [1] 59/24
bland [1] 75/8
bleach [1] 30/7
blue [1] 8/14
Bluefield [1] 25/2
Board [13] 2/7 2/8 2/9 2/10 15/22 17/20
18/3 24/14 38/8 40/10 50/2 56/4 67/4
Bob [4] 4/18 5/13 52/12 60/9
bond [1] 23/7
bonds [2] 20/3 20/5
books [1] 23/11
booster [1] 7/21
booze [1] 43/9
borrow [3] 14/13 52/22 57/17
both [4] 7/2 7/3 11/14 34/7
bothers [2] 36/20 72/2
bottled [1] 30/6
bottom [2] 21/5 65/1
bought [2] 68/17 68/19
Boulevard [1] 22/11
box [1] 16/13
brand [1] 42/19
brand-new [1] 42/19
break [1] 25/19
brief [2] 5/21 6/20
briefly [1] 50/23
bright [1] 27/21
bring [4] 6/11 32/8 46/18 78/12
brought [3] 40/22 72/4 78/5
brown [1] 21/23
Bruce [1] 25/24
bucks [1] 54/14
budget [2] 47/3 73/17
budgets [3] 72/16 72/17 73/3
bug [1] 72/4
build [1] 75/8
building [6] 1/4 3/13 7/23 39/1 39/3 57/14
buildings [2] 10/11 30/12
built [6] 10/23 39/3 45/24 51/22 57/6 58/15
bump [1] 33/7
bunch [1] 78/10
burden [2] 34/11 52/3
Bureau [1] 78/9
burn [1] 39/3
business [14] 20/1 23/21 24/7 27/10 37/4
50/11 50/16 52/23 53/2 53/5 57/10 57/13
57/13 65/8
businesses [2] 7/3 8/18
but [61] 4/17 5/4 13/11 15/3 18/6 18/8
18/21 20/1 20/8 21/3 21/3 21/9 21/16 21/17
22/2 22/14 23/8 23/18 27/20 29/5 31/3 33/1
33/7 34/19 35/7 35/11 35/11 37/4 37/19
39/8 41/4 41/24 42/3 42/18 44/3 45/1 46/5
51/4 55/13 56/10 56/15 57/11 58/13 60/1
60/3 61/10 61/22 62/10 65/12 66/5 66/11
67/24 68/3 72/3 74/16 74/19 76/7 76/14
77/14 78/8 78/13
button [1] 42/10
buy [2] 30/6 55/4
C
cable [3] 11/7 48/2 51/12
calculate [1] 55/22
calculated [3] 62/17 63/2 63/4
calculations [1] 23/9
call [2] 44/7 62/4
callable [1] 23/6
called [6] 12/17 20/6 39/10 46/1 48/1 70/19
calling [1] 62/3
came [5] 42/6 42/7 42/11 44/14 52/16 campaign [1] 62/23
can [51] 4/23 5/1 5/5 6/1 6/14 11/16 12/15 13/6 13/11 14/5 14/13 20/2 20/24 21/7
21/10 21/12 21/17 22/5 28/18 31/20 35/14
37/12 40/2 40/3 40/4 40/7 41/23 42/13
42/14 43/21 44/16 44/19 52/2 52/22 54/11
55/18 57/17 57/21 58/12 58/19 58/19 58/20
63/21 63/24 68/8 76/3 76/20 77/3 77/14

## 78/7 78/9

can't [16] 14/14 21/6 23/7 31/23 39/2
41/24 54/9 55/8 56/11 57/21 66/18 71/23
73/1 73/1 76/7 76/18
candid [1] 10/6
cannot [2] 27/20 56/24
cap [1] 55/7
capability [1] 48/17
capacity [5] 10/20 48/15 49/5 49/13 59/23
capita [1] 24/24
capital [15] 22/17 22/19 23/3 23/9 23/10
23/14 23/15 24/8 24/8 25/5 31/1 31/2 48/19

## 51/15 63/12

captive [3] 17/1 72/1 72/20
care [4] 16/20 70/5 70/7 70/10
Carl [3] 12/1 12/2 60/2
carry [1] 13/10
case [19] 3/8 5/17 8/2 8/15 8/16 13/9 14/4
14/17 15/2 15/15 15/16 15/18 25/2 33/2
53/17 66/3 67/6 75/4 75/6
cases [2] 44/8 76/9
cash [2] 31/18 77/13
catharsis [1] 73/24
causing [1] 62/24
cellphone [1] 11/7
cents [4] 9/20 20/3 21/8 53/1
century [1] 60/4
certain [1] 75/15
certainly [5] 22/8 23/14 39/8 57/4 72/12
Chairman [8] 2/7 5/9 5/16 15/5 15/22
24/19 52/15 74/23
challenging [1] 50/3
chance [5] 17/19 72/19 73/13 74/17 76/21
change [8] 17/19 23/7 43/13 71/23 73/15
73/16 73/24 74/2
changed [6] 9/7 9/12 19/15 23/12 74/1
75/13
changes [2] 65/20 68/20
characteristics [1] 61/18
charge [15] 14/18 14/21 15/17 16/18 25/4
30/20 31/4 31/11 40/12 43/17 54/13 54/21 55/1 56/18 58/15
charged [3] 16/23 16/24 45/5
charges [14] 10/2 10/5 10/8 10/19 10/21
17/1 20/14 24/2 55/20 55/20 55/20 59/19
76/15 76/17
charging [7] 16/14 34/1 34/2 39/2 39/4
54/5 54/5
chart [1] 8/4
Chase [2] 24/17 28/2
cheap [1] 52/24
cheaper [2] 58/12 59/13
check [1] 43/4
chemical [2] 9/8 29/10
chemicals [2] 7/15 9/7
chlorine [1] 7/15
choose [1] 67/13
Church [1] 72/24
churning [2] 68/11 68/14
cigarettes [1] 21/14
CIP [1] 41/13
Circle [1] 71/21
circumstance [2] 38/18 49/20
cited [2] 62/15 64/2
cites [1] 62/17
cities [1] 26/9
citizens [2] 29/11 36/9
City [2] 24/18 50/24
clarification [1] 33/11
clarify [1] 31/20
classic [1] 39/18
clean [3] 50/8 55/8 70/1
clear [5] 5/5 31/21 41/7 65/11 65/24
clearly [1] 69/11
Clerk [1] 18/13
click [2] 12/18 13/7
clicks [1] 42/10
climate [1] 14/12
clipped [1] 42/11
close [3] 20/13 45/20 78/21
closer [1] 33/2
clothes [1] 21/19
Co [1] 52/15
Co-Chairman [1] 52/15
Coast [2] 29/13 29/14
Coastal [1] 40/11
coffers [1] 31/18
cogent [1] 40/21
collecting [1] 24/4
combat [1] 8/21
combated [1] 8/19
combination [1] 37/8
Comcast [2] 48/1 57/16
come [21] 4/20 6/17 12/19 18/18 18/24
20/22 29/7 32/18 34/16 34/24 37/12 40/2
42/5 45/14 45/21 66/2 66/7 66/9 67/23

## 70/12 72/23

comes [3] 35/4 53/11 67/7
coming [19] 11/20 13/17 14/22 15/3 15/3
17/21 22/10 22/14 30/7 35/16 39/12 46/22
47/23 52/15 61/9 67/4 74/20 76/9 78/22
comment [5] 46/8 53/7 66/6 78/4 78/21
comments [8] 4/23 24/18 24/20 28/12
61/21 66/2 67/3 78/23
commercial [1] 73/20
commission [30] $1 / 2$ 3/10 4/6 4/10 5/15
6/4 10/3 12/24 15/13 16/13 18/19 24/12
24/21 29/23 31/15 32/22 33/2 43/6 43/10
52/16 53/9 54/19 55/18 58/23 61/19 67/23
75/10 75/13 78/9 78/24
Commission's [1] 43/13
Commissioner [4] 1/13 1/13 5/14 5/16
commissioners [9] 13/17 16/10 54/24
56/9 60/14 61/9 61/24 66/12 66/23
commissions [2] 29/24 44/15
committed [3] 11/12 11/13 11/15
committee [3] 5/19 43/11 43/15
common [1] 30/11
Commonwealth [1] 77/5
communication [1] 35/19
Communications [1] 26/1
communities [4] 8/24 11/12 26/22 35/18
community [6] 24/23 27/10 36/9 47/1
68/23 69/4
companies [10] 23/23 24/3 25/4 26/24
27/23 31/19 58/21 65/7 71/23 74/7
company [55] 1/9 3/5 3/17 4/5 4/19 5/20
6/21 6/24 11/22 14/10 14/17 15/8 15/10
17/5 18/9 18/10 19/22 20/8 23/22 24/16
25/6 25/8 25/13 26/8 26/20 29/14 32/22
33/4 36/15 36/17 37/6 37/12 44/11 48/5
48/12 50/7 50/16 54/21 56/12 57/2 57/17
57/20 58/24 60/1 61/15 62/3 63/7 64/14
64/19 68/12 68/15 68/17 69/22 71/13 78/8
company's [4] 6/19 12/24 23/11 23/14
compared [1] 34/15
compensated [1] 49/13
competent [1] 60/3
competition [1] 65/9
competitiveness [1] 74/1

| C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| competitor [1] 37/17 <br> complain [1] 70/19 <br> complained [2] 18/15 18/15 <br> complete [1] 12/12 <br> compliance [2] 12/5 48/6 <br> complicated [1] 41/10 <br> component [3] 9/13 16/17 52/20 <br> components [2] 7/11 55/21 <br> compressed [1] 74/13 <br> Compressing [1] 74/10 <br> computerized [1] 42/21 <br> concern [2] 34/5 75/24 <br> concerned [5] 23/13 45/2 52/18 67/16 68/11 <br> concerns [2] 10/4 78/11 <br> Concord [7] 4/16 13/23 36/7 37/5 52/17 <br> 59/11 68/24 <br> conditions [1] 23/11 <br> condo [2] 34/5 45/3 <br> confused [1] 31/5 <br> conjunction [1] 15/10 <br> connected [2] 53/13 54/10 <br> Connecticut [11] 25/23 26/3 26/6 26/15 <br> 26/18 26/22 26/24 27/4 29/12 44/12 69/1 <br> connection [1] 9/12 <br> conservation [10] 14/8 14/18 16/5 39/16 <br> 39/22 49/22 58/8 59/20 59/20 62/21 <br> conservative [1] 21/21 <br> conserve [2] 25/11 70/2 <br> conserved [2] 14/7 16/11 <br> conserving [1] 47/8 <br> consider [1] 66/13 <br> considerably [1] 77/4 <br> consideration [2] 76/1 78/24 <br> considerations [1] 77/18 <br> considered [3] 20/7 41/2 58/8 <br> consistent [1] 26/15 <br> consistently [1] 8/22 <br> constantly [1] 9/15 <br> construction [2] 48/10 50/15 <br> consumable [1] 45/9 <br> consumed [1] 31/11 <br> consumer [9] $4 / 6$ 5/8 15/11 17/18 36/16 <br> 37/13 49/21 78/9 78/15 <br> consumers [8] 14/6 14/14 15/15 16/11 <br> 17/3 17/22 48/4 49/16 <br> consumption [5] 14/6 16/4 35/7 37/1 <br> 62/20 <br> contact [2] 78/7 78/10 <br> contaminants [1] 9/6 <br> context [1] 67/19 <br> continual [1] 53/8 <br> continually [1] 48/7 <br> continue [5] 27/15 50/14 61/2 71/8 71/8 <br> continues [2] 25/12 26/20 <br> continuous [1] 19/12 <br> contract [1] 65/7 <br> contractor [1] 9/10 <br> contractors [1] 9/12 <br> contracts [2] 64/11 64/11 <br> control [5] 7/16 9/8 9/15 23/6 73/3 <br> controls [3] 72/16 73/7 74/1 <br> converse [1] 59/13 <br> convinced [1] 74/15 <br> copy [2] 22/6 65/24 <br> Corporate [1] 26/1 <br> corporation [4] 24/19 25/15 40/11 68/18 <br> correct [2] 28/24 43/22 <br> correctly [1] 31/24 <br> corrosion [1] 7/16 <br> cost [31] 10/21 11/5 11/16 20/15 23/3 23/8 <br> 23/10 23/14 29/21 30/3 31/3 38/23 39/5 <br> 39/6 51/7 51/8 51/17 51/18 51/21 53/18 |  | department [8] 34/23 35/4 54/8 68/4 70/5 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | depends [1] 25/7 |
|  |  | depreciation [3] |
|  |  | D |
|  |  | [1] 3 |
|  |  | 1] 43/1 |
|  | 64/24 66/4 69/10 69/14 72/22 73/17 77/12 | [3] 2/1 |
|  |  | [2] $32 / 10$ |
|  | couldn't [2] 53/14 68/2 | [1] |
|  | Council [2] 49/6 4 | mine [1] 50/18 |
|  | counsel [1] 60/23 | ining [1] 40 |
|  |  |  |
|  | couple [8] 8/6 |  |
|  | 45/23 | nt [1] |
|  |  | diagrams [1] 46/2 |
|  |  | iandra [1] 69/13 <br> did [6] 4/7 12/11 18 |
|  | co | [3] 29/4 |
|  |  | [2] 211 |
|  |  | different [6] 7/14 |
|  |  | 78/11 |
|  | crazy [1] 17 | [1] 45/22 |
|  | creating [1] 27/1 | rector [3] 3/11 5/12 12/4 |
|  | crisis [2] 19/17 19/2 cross [1] 9/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ctors [1] } 74 / 11 \\ & \text { gree [1] } 60 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | cross-connectio | sappointing [1] 1 |
|  |  | scharge [1] 62/10 |
|  | current [3] | scussing [1] 51/5 |
|  | currently [3] | scussion [1] 25/19 |
|  | Cushing [4] 66/17 | sgusted [1] 71/13 |
|  | customer [7] 9/18 9/18 12/3 49/7 62 | disinfection [1] 7/15 |
|  | 67/1 75/18 | distribution [8] 7/17 7/19 55/19 64/18 |
|  |  | 64/22 65/6 65/15 65/ divested [1] 29/16 |
|  |  | ision [1] 5/13 |
|  | cu | xon [1] 12/3 |
|  |  | do [34] 6/20 9/12 12/13 20/16 21/1 21/24 |
|  | cutting [2] 8/20 cycle [2] 16/12 7 | 27/17 33/18 38/15 43/2 43/17 44/1 44 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 71/8 71/18 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D-i-a-n-d-r-a [1] 69/15 } \\ & \text { daily [1] } 9 / 20 \\ & \text { data [1] } 27 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | cket [4] 3/5 12/13 28/19 78/22 cketbook [2] 12/17 13/7 cuments [1] 23/5 |
|  | $47 / 750 / 659 / 2$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { OD [1] } & 64 / 10 \\ \text { oes [5] } & 21 / 24 \end{array}$ |
|  | day-to-day [1] | doesn't [9] 19/1 34/2 39/3 46/14 57/2 |
|  | in | /15 64/ |
|  | dealing [2] 36/15 | 41/2 |
|  | dealings [1] 43/11 | 42/23 43/4 43/7 43/22 47/12 54/14 60/1 |
|  | Dear [1] 61/24 | 60/2 65/6 68/3 70/2 73/14 7 |
|  | debt [7] 23/3 23/8 23/10 | doldrum [1] 60/5 |
|  |  | [ [7] 14/2 20/19 20/19 42/20 43 |
|  |  | 48/17 54/ |
|  | decade [1] 68/16 | mestic [3] 7/3 11/1 11/14 |
|  | December [2] | n't [50] 5/21 13/10 14/23 20 |
|  | [1] | 19 22/12 23/8 23/21 |
|  | decentralized [1] | 3 30/13 33/14 3 |
|  | decide [2] 15/13 15/15 | 40/12 44/2 44/9 |
|  | decided [1] 75 | 66/3 67 |
|  | decision [2] 77/15 | 9 |
|  | decisions [3] 68/22 72/7 73/16 | 1 72/22 73/1 74/10 |
|  | decline [1] 16/4 | 76/13 |
|  | declining [2] 62/18 62/24 | done [10] 58/21 59/3 59/5 64/8 72/10 |
|  | decorum [1] 4/17 | 72/10 72/10 73/11 76/23 77/7 |
|  | decrease [1] 14/2 | (1) |
|  | decreased [1] 14/6 | ors [1] 73/21 |
|  |  | [ [3] 36/11 36/12 44/2 |
|  | degraded [1] 48/14 |  |
|  | dehumidifier [1] 47/6 | over [1] 29/9 |
|  | deliberations [1] 66/14 | down [22] 5/6 8/13 10/12 12/21 29/7 29/20 |


| D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ensure [1] 8/11 <br> entirely [1] 39/7 <br> entities [2] 65/16 65/19 <br> entity [1] 64/9 <br> entrepreneurial [1] 27/9 <br> entrusted [1] 65/7 <br> environment [2] 30/3 57/24 <br> EPA [1] 11/6 <br> equalized [1] 75/21 <br> equipment [3] 49/10 64/12 64/16 <br> equities [1] 24/16 <br> equity [6] 14/11 27/21 27/23 28/1 28/1 <br> 54/7 <br> equivalent [1] 67/10 <br> especially [1] 31/16 <br> essence [1] 32/16 <br> essentially [1] 45/15 <br> estate [1] 25/16 <br> estimated [1] 42/16 <br> etcetera [2] 13/4 49/17 <br> Evaluation [1] 5/18 <br> even [9] 16/15 18/24 30/17 33/15 46/5 <br> 63/21 68/23 68/24 71/10 | ```familiar [1] 64/10 family [1] 33/18 fantastic [1] 23/20 far [7] 23/12 34/9 44/15 51/11 58/2 58/3 63/20 fast [1] 5/5 fat [1] 29/23 faucet [1] 39/11 faulty [2] 30/15 30/20 February [1] 26/2 February 23rd [1] 26/2 federal [2] 8/13 48/6 fee [5] 16/23 54/2 54/4 56/1 75/20 feedback [1] 11/21 feel [3] 17/7 17/14 78/10 fees [3] 24/5 43/15 43/17 feet [1] 70/18 fellow [1] 56/8 fellows [1] 18/18 few [8] 5/7 18/17 19/18 19/19 21/14 53/1 67/3 75/8 fifteen [1] 5/22 figure [2] 41/10 58/20``` |
| E | evening [12] 3/3 6/16 6/16 18/1 22/9 24/12 35/15 35/23 46/21 47/21 51/6 52/14 |  |
| ```each [4] 4/20 33/8 42/23 48/8 earlier [5] 12/12 18/5 19/6 28/19 68/4 early [1] \(3 / 9\) earn [2] 16/2 25/13 earning [1] 28/4 earns [1] 25/7 earth [1] 63/11 easily [1] 21/18 East [1] 29/14 eastern [1] 56/14 easy [2] 13/5 69/17 echo [2] 24/18 38/20 economic [3] 30/3 40/11 60/5 economies [1] 41/20 economy [4] 21/11 43/1 71/15 76/1 edge [1] 22/5 educate [1] 27/20 effect [2] 25/14 31/23 effective [3] 4/12 15/6 78/11 effectively [1] 23/6 efficiencies [1] 27/5 efficient [1] 49/24 efficiently [1] 25/8 effort [2] 14/9 37/11 eight [3] 7/14 27/23 45/16 either [2] 14/24 76/8 elected [2] 43/20 67/2 electric [7] 11/7 23/23 53/2 53/4 55/19 65/14 77/2 else [16] 4/19 5/4 6/1 20/23 21/6 37/18 39/24 47/11 49/14 57/22 59/22 69/8 71/17 74/21 77/22 78/20 emergency [1] 50/5 empathize [1] 72/12 employees [1] \(8 / 20\) empty [1] 47/6 enabled [1] 43/2 encourage [4] 49/21 58/8 59/20 63/23 end [9] 32/3 40/16 45/23 64/7 64/15 73/8 73/9 76/16 78/3 ended [1] 79/2``` | ever [1] 41/20 <br> every [18] 18/24 20/24 21/10 26/3 29/21 <br> 30/18 38/13 38/14 42/17 47/6 50/6 65/8 <br> 71/4 71/10 71/11 71/11 73/18 76/19 <br> everybody [4] 3/3 43/7 58/18 59/22 <br> everyone [5] 4/17 6/16 33/9 49/14 58/12 <br> everything [3] 38/16 39/17 43/16 <br> everywhere [1] 57/22 <br> evidence [1] 77/19 <br> exact [1] 32/13 <br> exactly [1] 39/20 <br> example [3] 33/1 40/6 65/11 <br> excellent [1] 48/5 <br> except [1] 5/4 <br> exception [1] 29/19 <br> excess [2] 14/2 75/9 <br> excessive [1] 54/3 <br> excuse [3] 12/20 24/13 60/13 <br> Executive [1] 3/10 <br> exercise [1] 67/24 <br> Exeter [1] 54/5 <br> existing [3] 23/15 56/3 59/8 <br> exists [1] 59/7 <br> expect [2] 20/11 49/17 <br> expenditures [1] 25/16 <br> expense [4] 29/22 45/21 56/19 56/20 <br> expensed [1] 53/10 <br> expenses [4] 16/15 57/2 62/18 73/18 <br> expensive [1] 9/8 <br> experience [1] 18/20 <br> expert [1] 53/17 <br> explain [1] 58/11 <br> exposure [1] 23/24 <br> expressed [1] 16/10 <br> extend [1] 56/13 <br> extensive [1] 26/5 <br> extent [1] 65/15 <br> extinguisher [1] 35/5 <br> extraordinary [2] 24/22 28/8 <br> eye [1] 63/11 <br> eye-popping [1] 63/11 | figuring [1] 40/16 <br> file [2] 1/10 64/24 <br> filed [8] 3/20 3/21 3/22 3/24 12/23 12/24 <br> 23/5 61/15 <br> filing [4] 62/3 62/15 62/19 63/21 <br> filled [1] 38/22 <br> fills [1] 27/2 <br> final [2] 32/4 40/8 <br> financial [5] 19/17 19/21 27/18 76/5 77/18 <br> find [2] 31/13 70/22 <br> finished [1] 22/7 <br> fire [51] 7/4 7/4 10/2 10/5 10/8 10/9 10/12 10/17 10/19 10/20 10/24 11/14 16/18 16/19 34/6 34/21 34/23 34/23 34/24 35/4 35/4 35/5 35/5 38/21 38/23 39/5 45/3 46/7 46/13 48/16 48/19 48/23 49/2 53/7 53/9 53/13 53/23 53/24 54/2 55/15 55/23 56/12 61/3 62/9 67/9 67/14 70/5 70/6 70/8 70/9 71/5 firefighter [1] 67/8 firefighter's [1] 67/11 <br> first [6] 5/21 18/4 24/15 24/17 29/1 29/5 <br> five [3] 25/1 46/9 71/11 <br> fix [2] 45/11 45/22 <br> fixed [2] 22/23 54/22 <br> fixing [1] 20/16 <br> flat [3] 16/23 19/1 20/22 <br> flow [1] 58/20 <br> flows [1] 53/16 <br> flush [1] 70/13 <br> flushing [1] 55/8 <br> focus [2] 22/16 23/16 <br> focusing [1] 23/17 <br> folks [7] 9/14 10/4 10/12 11/22 11/24 12/1 18/16 <br> follow [2] 5/3 28/18 <br> follow-up [1] 28/18 <br> following [2] 26/23 63/10 <br> food [1] 49/17 <br> force [1] 69/3 <br> forced [3] 75/8 76/6 76/8 <br> foreclosed [1] 19/18 |
|  | F | foreign [1] 64/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { engineer [1] 29/10 } \\ & \text { engineering [1] 55/17 } \\ & \text { engulfs [1] 34/24 } \\ & \text { enjoying [1] 27/4 } \\ & \text { enormous [1] } 57 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | facilities [2] 7/14 49/24 facility [1] 20/16 fact [10] 16/11 20/5 29/16 36/24 40/24 47/4 59/8 63/22 70/22 75/24 fair [2] 7/22 41/9 | formal [1] 4/15 <br> formed [1] 43/10 <br> former [1] 49/3 <br> forms [3] 4/22 4/24 47/19 <br> forth [5] 42/22 43/16 53/5 63/18 64/13 |

## $F$

fortunate [1] 48/4
forward [2] 36/6 36/8
found [4] 12/13 26/10 61/18 77/11
founded [1] 25/10
founders [1] 28/3
four [3] 7/19 7/20 8/15
frame [1] 73/23
Fred [2] 37/24 58/12
Freddie [1] 20/6
Frederick [1] 74/23
free [14] 22/21 22/22 23/12 23/21 24/7
40/5 40/5 40/9 40/12 40/13 40/17 49/4 53/1 57/10
French [2] 2/17 46/20
frequency [2] 14/22 19/7
frequently [1] 49/3
frivolity [1] 21/3
front [4] 34/4 70/6 70/17 70/18
full [2] 51/7 51/8
Fuller [1] 55/1
function [2] 6/2 32/7
fundamental [1] 65/19
funding [2] 52/2 56/11
future [5] 13/1 13/3 15/18 52/1 52/3
G
gain [2] 50/17 74/3
gallon [1] 21/8
gallons [10] 7/6 7/8 7/20 9/19 10/16 34/22
34/22 49/2 53/16 55/15
gaps [1] 27/3
garden [1] 47/7
gardens [1] 53/23
gas [6] 5/13 11/7 23/23 33/24 49/16 53/5
gasoline [1] 21/8
gathered [1] 70/15
gave [2] 40/7 66/18
Gearreald [2] 2/4 13/18
generally [2] 51/20 51/22
generations [2] 52/1 52/4
gentlemen [10] 23/23 24/10 26/13 28/10
35/15 47/21 52/5 52/14 55/18 60/8 get [49] 4/15 6/6 6/11 6/23 12/16 12/21
13/6 15/15 16/13 20/23 22/12 22/14 27/18
30/1 32/23 33/6 33/24 34/7 40/4 41/3 41/4
42/16 45/17 46/11 46/19 53/11 54/1 54/23
55/5 55/5 55/6 55/17 56/11 57/12 57/15
57/21 57/22 58/14 60/6 61/19 63/13 64/7
65/1 66/4 66/18 68/17 72/17 74/17 76/22
gets [3] 42/15 54/10 68/19
getting [11] 13/9 31/1 34/3 34/10 34/10
40/13 41/21 43/23 47/9 63/16 77/20
give [5] 15/14 22/6 31/17 40/12 73/13
given [4] 24/9 43/19 50/22 71/7
giving [1] 6/5
glad [2] 10/6 38/10
go [30] 12/14 13/5 13/7 13/8 19/1 20/17
29/1 29/4 30/5 31/18 31/22 33/24 35/11
37/1 38/17 43/10 44/18 50/15 53/12 55/3
55/10 58/12 59/11 59/12 61/23 68/8 71/23 72/6 75/4 76/20
goal [2] 27/1 58/23
goes [7] 7/23 28/16 32/3 42/9 44/7 45/3 45/9
going [46] 3/4 4/14 4/14 4/16 5/2 6/11
6/20 6/22 6/23 12/13 13/2 19/5 20/14 21/23
27/22 28/21 31/12 33/13 35/11 38/6 39/15
39/15 40/8 40/16 41/18 44/2 45/16 57/6
61/16 61/20 61/21 62/11 62/12 63/9 63/13
67/23 70/8 71/2 72/6 72/14 74/15 76/11
76/14 77/16 78/1 78/21
gold [1] 20/8
gone[10] 8/10 8/14 19/17 21/7 21/8 21/9

31/4 66/7 73/24 74/4
good [28] 3/2 6/16 13/4 18/1 20/4 21/3 21/17 21/21 22/9 24/12 27/16 31/2 31/3 33/16 35/15 39/9 43/7 44/5 44/14 46/21 47/21 50/10 52/14 55/1 60/2 62/10 66/9 73/3
Gopalan [4] 2/20 61/5 61/6 61/7
Gosh [1] 39/23
got [21] 7/12 7/19 8/4 8/7 9/9 11/6 24/6
24/7 35/18 37/2 38/19 39/24 40/9 41/21
44/9 54/20 54/22 55/19 55/24 56/10 73/11
gotten [3] 9/1 32/17 58/22
government [3] 64/11 65/4 65/9
governments [1] 64/12
grant [3] 9/9 15/16 59/4
granted [7] 3/11 15/6 19/8 32/2 32/4 32/6
62/7
granting [2] 32/12 32/22
graph [2] 11/3 11/4
grass [1] 21/20
grateful [1] 50/6
great [3] 60/6 62/22 63/1
greater [1] 58/4
Greenland [2] 54/9 54/13
Griffin [2] 2/7 15/21
Grill [1] 72/18
Group [1] 17/11
grow [1] 31/19
grown [1] 39/8
growth [4] 26/16 26/23 58/4 58/4
guarantee [3] 25/13 63/11 73/17
guaranteed [1] 57/16
guarantied [3] 25/6 29/23 57/10
guess [3] 20/22 37/10 63/12
guests [1] 34/16
guidance [1] 6/5
guidelines [1] 8/13
guy [4] 42/7 42/9 42/22 45/21
H
habit [1] 21/15
had [29] 15/8 18/9 18/11 19/18 20/5 28/14
30/9 30/17 30/21 32/17 38/4 38/9 40/15
41/15 42/4 42/4 42/5 43/9 45/12 45/14 46/2
47/19 53/8 53/17 53/17 64/22 68/6 70/15
70/17
half [8] 8/16 48/17 54/20 56/7 60/22 60/24
63/16 68/8
HAMPSHIRE [19] 1/1 1/6 1/9 3/6 3/17 6/19
7/1 12/15 13/6 26/12 26/13 27/8 31/16 51/1
51/11 62/2 67/17 67/18 67/20
Hampshire's [1] 51/3
Hampton [86]
Hampton's [1] 56/4
hand [5] 12/16 13/7 20/9 20/14 69/10
handle [1] 35/14
hands [1] 37/2
happen [4] 44/2 44/9 54/20 76/14
happening [1] 32/19
happens [2] 11/9 35/2
happy [2] 30/8 34/19
hard [8] 21/16 22/6 27/18 36/2 37/20
44/17 77/6 77/8
hardest [1] 71/15
hardly [2] 19/15 21/1
hardworking [3] 27/9 27/16 28/9
Harned [1] 56/9
Harrington [2] 1/13 5/15
has [44] 4/24 10/22 12/24 16/19 19/15
20/3 20/22 21/9 21/11 24/24 25/15 25/22
26/22 27/11 27/12 28/12 28/17 31/4 36/16 39/24 43/7 48/12 50/7 51/10 51/11 51/22
51/23 53/12 53/15 56/12 58/5 58/24 59/2
60/23 61/1 70/11 73/10 73/24 74/4 74/4
74/13 76/19 76/21 77/15
have [140]
haven't [3] 17/20 32/18 72/4
having [16] $6 / 10$ 6/15 16/11 16/22 19/12
20/9 34/23 35/8 38/21 40/14 45/5 45/21

## 58/17 67/5 71/15 78/6

he [23] 5/5 28/11 28/12 28/12 31/24 38/12
38/15 39/20 42/10 42/11 42/11 45/15 45/17 46/1 52/11 55/3 56/9 56/11 56/12 56/12 59/2 64/24 64/24
he's [3] 12/5 52/8 56/10
head [2] 34/22 35/3
headed [1] 37/21
health [1] 21/17
healthy [1] 75/20
hear [6] 17/6 17/7 35/24 36/21 46/22 47/23
heard [7] 10/4 13/23 35/22 61/17 74/16
75/1 75/1
hearing [12] 1/10 3/5 3/8 3/11 4/24 22/10
32/2 36/7 38/9 61/9 78/21 79/2
hearings [4] 4/15 13/3 13/23 16/9
heating [1] 49/16
heck [1] 48/1
held [2] 3/8 3/12
Helena [3] 28/23 29/5 29/8
Hello [1] 5/10
help [9] 17/21 19/21 33/13 65/1 68/3 71/2
76/10 76/11 77/20
hence [1] 3/14
her [1] 5/18
here [73] 3/14 4/16 5/8 5/9 5/13 6/5 6/16
6/17 6/24 7/10 8/4 11/6 11/12 11/18 11/22
12/1 12/2 13/21 14/1 15/4 15/20 17/9 17/15 17/20 18/9 21/4 27/17 31/16 34/13 34/14
36/14 36/17 36/24 38/5 38/8 38/10 38/12
38/19 38/20 39/10 39/21 40/11 41/13 43/2
44/5 44/23 52/15 53/14 53/21 54/8 54/12
55/9 56/9 56/23 61/9 61/10 61/10 65/1 65/2
65/11 65/20 66/1 67/4 67/17 68/23 69/21
71/21 72/12 73/3 73/7 76/1 76/12 77/16
Here's [1] 26/10
Hey [1] 40/3
Hi [2] 15/21 44/22
hid [1] 38/15
high [14] 17/6 20/9 20/10 24/9 30/24 33/3 33/14 34/17 44/23 56/16 61/4 61/11 68/10 75/10
higher [6] 11/8 23/24 37/7 41/2 58/9 59/19
highest [1] 48/18
hike [1] 46/23
him [1] 12/7
himself [1] 39/19
his [10] 5/2 31/23 38/13 39/18 45/18 45/18
45/19 45/24 49/12 56/10
historic [1] 51/23
historically [1] 57/18
hit [10] 16/21 17/2 17/3 17/5 21/13 21/15
33/23 34/3 34/11 71/15
hold [2] 3/4 65/10
holding [1] 64/19
holds [1] 44/10
hole [1] 45/22
home [5] 13/6 49/16 50/1 59/12 70/6
homeowners [2] 56/24 75/19
homes [3] 7/3 45/24 76/6
honest [1] 20/21
hooking [1] 34/23
hope [4] 17/21 31/14 42/15 44/17
hoping [1] $5 / 22$
hose [1] 34/23
hours [1] 53/16
house [8] 21/20 34/21 35/2 42/11 42/12
45/9 70/17 70/19
household [1] 24/24
households [1] 47/10
housekeeping [1] 12/11

| H | 61/15 62/17 63/17 69/24 71/7 75/4 75/14 76/10 76/22 77/8 77/10 | 57/2 62/15 62/22 65/10 67/18 68/6 68/14 $68 / 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| houses [2] 19/18 19/19 | increasing [4] 8/8 19/8 25/11 76/4 | itself [3] 25/20 31/3 35/7 |
| how [17] 4/13 8/22 9/12 12/13 21/17 25/7 | incurred [1] 16/15 | J |
| 33/13 33/18 33/19 42/14 43/13 43/17 44/7 | indeed [1] 47/24 | J |
| 45/16 53/9 53/9 53/10 | indicates [1] 11/4 | Jane [1] 18/12 |
| Huckleberry [1] 46/24 | indicating [2] 45/20 66/18 | January [1] 32/19 |
| huge [5] 18/14 19/23 20/17 38/7 44/11 | individual [4] 36/8 36/16 41/15 75/19 | Jerry [1] 71/21 |
| huh [1] 35/21 | individuals [1] 38/20 | job [6] 43/7 60/2 60/2 65/6 67/15 70/2 |
| hundred [2] 42/20 43/8 | industry [7] 27/24 28/2 28/4 53/2 73/20 | John [3] 6/18 12/1 49/3 |
| hundreds [1] 54/17 | 73/20 73/23 | join [1] 22/15 |
| hurt [1] 34/2 | inequitable [1] 54/3 | Joseph [1] 33/14 |
| hydrant [18] 17/5 54/2 54/4 54/4 55/23 | inflation [1] 58/3 | Josh [1] 12/4 |
| 56/1 56/2 56/18 56/19 56/20 56/21 62/10 | influence [1] 67/21 | Journal [1] 17/11 |
| 70/6 70/7 70/13 75/3 75/16 75/23 | information [5] 6/3 6/6 12/22 13/9 27/18 | judge [1] 50/20 |
| hydrants [26] 7/4 10/10 16/19 16/20 16/23 | infrastructure [15] 7/8 7/11 7/23 14/18 | judgment [1] 50/11 |
| 17/3 48/22 48/24 53/13 53/24 54/3 54/11 | 27/3 27/13 31/2 41/19 48/8 50/4 50/14 51/4 | July [5] 3/6 4/12 15/7 31/9 61/14 |
| 54/18 54/20 54/23 55/7 55/7 55/12 56/4 | 51/9 51/19 53/21 | July 1 [1] 15/7 |
| 56/11 57/7 60/22 67/10 67/14 71/3 75/12 | ingest [2] 11/10 37/16 | July 18th [1] 3/6 |
|  | injury [1] 30/4 | July 1st [1] 4/12 |
|  | inside [1] 42/12 | jumps [1] 38/7 |
|  | insight [3] 72/11 72/22 73/6 inspect [1] 74/2 | Juniper [1] 46/23 |
|  | inspection [1] 9/13 | 10/24 11/24 12/10 12/15 13/4 13/5 19/5 |
|  | installed [2] 10/10 49/24 | 19/12 22/2 22/4 22/16 23/3 24/9 28/12 |
| I'm [59] 5/15 5/15 5/22 6/17 6/20 6/22 11/24 13/18 15/21 17/7 17/10 18/1 18/2 | instead [3] 34/22 36/4 42/6 | 28/21 28/22 29/18 29/19 29/22 29/24 30/4 |
|  | instructive [1] 61/23 | 30/8 30/23 30/24 31/10 31/14 31/17 31/20 |
| 18/7 19/5 19/16 21/2 22/2 23/17 25/3 28/21 | insult [1] 30/4 | 31/21 32/1 33/5 33/8 33/23 35/8 37/20 40/3 |
| 29/5 29/8 29/10 30/6 30/8 30/19 31/5 33/13 | insurance [2] 10/21 49/1 | 40/6 40/19 41/7 42/4 42/10 42/18 45/4 |
| 34/10 34/10 35/11 35/17 36/13 37/4 37/24 | integrated [2] 26/18 27/1 | 45/12 46/8 46/12 46/17 49/18 52/2 52/16 |
| 38/1 38/10 41/10 42/15 45/2 47/16 47/17 | intent [2] 1/10 3/20 | 53/7 55/3 59/15 62/7 64/21 65/24 66/1 66/8 |
| 52/9 61/16 61/20 61/24 62/2 63/9 65/2 | interact [1] 49/11 | 66/18 67/3 69/5 69/10 69/18 71/5 71/12 |
| 66/24 67/1 67/1 67/16 68/11 69/20$71 / 12$ 74/19 | intercede [2] 24/22 28/8 | 71/18 76/23 77/9 78/4 78/8 78/18 |
|  | interest [9] 40/12 40/14 41/1 41/5 41/6 | justified [3] 60/4 77/16 77/17 |
| I've [12] 8/4 17/19 18/9 19/14 29/17 30/21 46/9 47/14 53/2 53/3 53/8 70/11 | 50/12 52/24 57/18 57/24 | justify [3] 32/17 44/19 63/5 |
|  | interested [1] <br> interesting [1] <br> $1 / 21$ <br> $26 / 10$ | K |
| idea [3] 22/13 66/9 66/10 | interesting [1] 26/10 |  |
| identified [1] 64/5 <br> if [73] 4/18 4/22 6/7 12/14 12/17 12/20 | interests [1] 50/19 | keep [5] 29/20 29/20 36/3 39/2 76/18 |
|  | internet [1] 51/12 | Kelda [1] 68/18 |
| 13/1 19/10 19/24 20/13 22/7 23/4 23/11 | interrupt [2] 4/20 40/19 | Kelley [1] 18/13 |
| 23/16 25/12 25/23 26/4 27/15 28/11 28/12 | intervention [1] 67/6 | kick [1] 34/12 |
| $28 / 13$ $28 / 14$ $28 / 22$ <br> $34 / 21$ $37 / 5$ $38 / 23$ <br> $39 / 3$ $30 / 13$ $32 / 5$ <br> $40 / 18$ $41 / 2$  | into [14] 7/16 7/17 7/23 31/23 37/11 37/13 | kicking [1] 52/2 |
|  | 43/11 43/19 45/3 45/9 51/22 70/16 72/11 | kid [1] 39/18 |
| 42/18 42/20 45/10 49/18 50/19 53/14 53/23 | 72/22 | kids [1] 70/15 |
| 54/11 54/20 54/23 55/2 55/4 55/6 55/7 55/9 | introduce [1] 5/7 | killed [1] 39/18 |
| 57/20 57/22 59/21 61/1 63/2 63/22 64/4 | invest [1] 20/2 | kind [8] 12/11 39/12 46/4 57/16 63/17 |
|  | invested [2] 48/12 48/17 | 67/18 73/10 74/19 |
| 64/13 69/10 70/8 70/8 71/18 72/9 72/11 $73 / 1874 / 1474 / 1876 / 1477 / 1677 / 17 ~ 78 / 6$ | investing [1] 49/19 | kinds [2] 43/9 63/20 |
| 78/16 78/17 | investment [5] 22/23 23/12 27/13 64/3 | Kingdom [1] 68/18 |
| Ignatius [1] 5/16 | 64/14 | know [52] 10/11 12/18 15/5 17/10 27/16 |
| immediate [1] 62/4 | investments [1] 14/15 | 28/14 30/15 30/20 34/1 34/3 34/13 34/17 |
| impact [3] 8/23 9/17 9/19 | investor [2] 25/21 63/23 | 34/24 35/6 37/3 43/5 45/2 45/3 45/4 45/10 |
| imperative [1] 36/19 | involuntary [2] 24/22 28/9 | 45/12 45/15 46/4 46/5 46/7 46/17 46/18 |
| important [1] 15/11 | involved [3] 14/3 65/2 65/5 | 54/8 56/10 59/11 66/1 67/12 67/13 67/17 |
| imposed [1] 39/17 | Irish [2] 33/16 33/18 | 67/22 68/9 68/13 68/18 69/5 71/23 72/2 |
|  | is [215] | 72/5 72/9 72/12 74/8 74/9 74/12 74/14 |
| improvements [5] 3 | isn't [2] 38/23 55/2 | 74/14 74/18 76/13 77/14 |
|  | isolation [1] 45/6 | knowledge [2] 77/19 77/20 |
| improving [2] 41/19 71/2 | issue [4] 19/18 50/24 66/14 78/18 | Knowlton [1] 12/3 |
|  | issues [4] 14/3 15/1 36/1 78/6 | known [1] 62/1 |
| inadequate [1] 50/5 | it [157] ${ }^{\text {it's [73] }} 6 / 57 / 78 / 159 / 21$ 11/4 11/6 13 | L |
| inappropriate [3] 18/6 18/8 75/18 | 13/9 13/11 17/14 20/4 20/8 21/8 21/22 | L-a-n-d-m-a-n [1] 60/10 |
| INC [1] 1/9 <br> incentive [4] 29/20 40/1 59/7 74/8 | 27/18 29/6 29/18 29/24 30/1 30/9 30/23 | ladies [1] 18/18 |
| Incidentally [1] 54/19 | 30/24 31/12 31/13 33/6 34/6 35/2 36/19 | laggards [1] 28/3 |
| include [1] 25/16included [3] 4/8 4/11 4/11 | 37/8 37/16 38/22 39/6 39/8 41/9 42/23 44/2 | Landam [2] 52/12 60/9 |
|  | 45/7 46/11 46/12 46/12 48/1 52/23 52/24 | landlord [2] 24/2 24/3 |
| included [3] $4 / 8$ 4/11 4/11 includes [1] 12/23 | 53/18 55/24 57/2 57/3 57/10 57/11 58/3 | landlords [1] 76/8 |
| including [4] 10/2 48/13 49/10 51/9 <br> income [5] 19/15 20/22 24/23 34/13 47/2 | 58/12 58/15 58/15 58/16 59/17 59/24 60/6 | Landman [5] 2/19 52/13 60/10 60/11 |
|  | 60/18 62/22 67/22 67/22 68/10 68/10 69/5 | 60/15 |
| incorporate [1] $40 / 3$increase [96]increased [2] 8/19 48/16increases [22] $8 / 2414 / 2317 / 219 / 13$$20 / 1735 / 2436 / 2441 / 1541 / 2252 / 1958 / 5$ | 69/17 71/4 72/7 72/9 72/20 73/10 75/21 | Lane [1] 46/23 |
|  | 76/23 78/17 | language [1] 38/16 |
|  | item [1] 73/18 | large [10] 7/19 36/15 56/23 58/9 59/19 |
|  | its [22] 3/10 3/20 3/23 4/1 4/2 14/11 25/13 25/16 26/11 26/20 26/23 37/6 37/7 51/17 | 59/21 65/15 70/16 75/14 76/22 larger [8] 10/23 10/23 10/23 48/15 53/15 |


| L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { living [2] 18/22 58/5 } \\ & \text { load [3] } 38 / 2455 / 1455 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | \|Mary [2] 47/20 47/22 <br> Mary-Louise [2] 47/20 47/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| larger... [3] 55/13 67/22 70/16 | loan [4] 40/5 40/5 40/9 57/19 | Massachusetts [6] 6/19 26/11 68/4 68/7 |
| largest [1] 25/21 | local [3] 40/10 51/1 71/16 | 77/3 77/10 |
| last [18] 8/2 8/2 8/14 8/16 9/1 10/12 10/13 | locally [1] 17/9 | Master's [1] 29/10 |
| 14/19 18/5 25/1 26/17 36/23 38/11 44/15 | locked [1] 71/24 | material [1] 3/23 |
| 45/12 60/21 66/19 78/4 | lodging [1] 62/2 | math [1] 59/15 |
| late [1] 73/10 | long [9] 5/22 13/19 27/4 38/5 40/2 51/15 | mathematics [1] 23/13 |
| later [2] 24/17 31/12 | 55/9 57/18 59/22 | matter [3] 12/11 47/3 63/22 |
| latter [1] 62/19 | long-term [3] 38/5 51/15 57/18 | matters [1] 77/18 |
| law [4] 25/2 38/14 40/3 65/4 | longer [3] 8/20 49/23 65/12 | $\max$ [1] 7/7 |
| lawn [2] 49/23 59/22 | look [20] 12/18 19/4 20/10 21/12 21/12 | may [5] 3/21 25/23 27/15 73/16 75/13 |
| lawns [1] 47/5 | 23/23 35/9 36/6 36/7 37/20 43/11 43/21 | maybe [5] 5/22 22/3 43/3 66/4 66/17 |
| lawyer [1] 31/24 | 44/18 55/18 58/19 58/19 65/17 77/6 77/8 | McKinley [2] 12/6 12/6 |
| lay [1] 73/16 | 78/16 | McMorran [3] 12/1 49/11 60/2 |
| layers [1] 74/12 | looked [2] 32/11 42/7 | me [32] 5/13 12/20 13/23 18/20 18/21 |
| LCR [1] 1/23 | looking [8] 8/22 9/15 19/2 19/20 23/18 | 21/15 24/8 24/14 27/22 27/23 29/18 30/14 |
| lead [2] 35/3 35/3 | 44/7 65/21 76/12 | 30/16 30/18 30/20 31/8 33/18 36/20 37/4 |
| leader [1] 12/3 | looks [1] 55/22 | 38/3 38/20 42/14 42/15 42/17 43/1 47/8 |
| leadership [1] 26/13 | loss [5] 14/8 16/3 58/14 58/19 73/21 | 60/13 63/10 66/18 71/19 72/2 72/4 |
| leak [3] 9/9 45/19 58/24 | lost [2] 43/8 58/15 | mean [11] 21/4 21/13 29/2 31/9 34/2 46/12 |
| leakage [3] 58/13 58/22 59/5 | Iot [18] 7/8 8/11 17/20 19/16 27/16 30/12 | 47/6 55/2 58/12 59/15 72/7 |
| leaking [2] 58/16 58/21 | 31/18 34/12 34/15 34/16 39/24 42/1 43/1 | means [4] 8/12 29/3 58/18 62/12 |
| leaks [2] 9/10 59/3 | 48/2 53/20 54/12 67/21 74/4 | measure [2] 55/21 56/23 |
| lean [3] 74/9 74/9 74/9 | loud [2] 5/4 5/5 | measured [1] 53/9 |
| learned [1] 61/14 | Louise [2] 47/20 47/22 | measures [1] 37/12 |
| learning [1] 74/4 | love [1] 73/17 | mechanical [1] 42/7 |
| least [4] 22/24 67/17 69/6 75/1 | low [6] 30/3 33/5 50/13 57/18 57/24 58/22 | mechanism [1] 65/2 |
| leave [3] 37/4 55/3 59/12 | lower [7] 16/15 17/2 32/24 50/11 51/11 | median [1] 24/23 |
| leaves [1] 28/11 | 51/21 62/16 | Medicare [1] 18/23 |
| left [2] 12/16 13/7 | lowering [2] 50/1 62/8 | medium [1] 34/13 |
| left-hand [2] 12/16 13/7 | lowest [2] 11/15 51/10 | meet [1] 32/23 |
| legal [1] 38/15 | luck [1] 29/6 | meeting [6] 1/5 3/12 6/3 36/6 59/9 75/12 |
| legislation [3] 44/2 44/5 64/24 | Luckily [1] 45/22 | meetings [1] 18/5 |
| legislators [1] 65/18 | lucky [1] 33/12 | member [2] 5/18 70/14 |
| Legislature [1] 36/2 | lucrative [2] 17/12 28/2 | members [1] 24/13 |
| less [6] 9/8 9/21 23/10 23/14 59/14 64/11 | lunch [1] 49/4 | mention [4] 12/11 27/7 27/8 27/8 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { lessen [1] } & 14 / 23 \\ \text { lesser [1] } & 14 / 13\end{array}$ | M | mentioned [7] 12/12 15/5 28/19 31/21 |
| let [6] 4/13 4/20 18/21 29/18 66/1 73/15 | Mac [1] 20/6 | mercy [2] 39/19 65/11 |
| let's [2] 55/16 55/17 | Macquarie [5] 17/11 68/13 68/20 68/20 | merged [1] 74/2 |
| letter [8] 3/10 61/13 61/16 61/16 61/20 | 69/22 | merits [1] 15/2 |
| 66/1 66/3 66/11 | made [12] 12/24 18/17 61/22 62/12 68/22 | met [1] 10/3 |
| letters [1] 30/18 | 68/23 68/24 69/1 69/1 72/7 73/19 73/22 | meter [9] 30/17 30/17 30/19 42/5 42/7 |
| levels [1] 34/6 | magically [1] 57/15 | 42/8 42/13 42/19 59/16 |
| leverage [1] 36/16 | magnitude [1] 18/14 | meter's [1] 30/15 |
| liberty [1] 66/6 | main [6] 6/2 34/5 45/13 45/19 45/22 46/3 | metered [2] 48/23 49/2 |
| life [1] 21/7 | maintain [1] 4/17 | meters [3] 58/13 58/17 59/16 |
| light [1] 77/3 | maintaining [3] 7/24 10/17 67/14 | Michael [3] 1/13 5/15 47/13 |
| like [40] 6/6 6/8 8/18 13/21 13/22 15/23 | maintenance [5] 8/10 8/11 45/6 51/14 | middle [1] $42 / 3$ |
| 17/10 17/18 20/8 21/13 21/19 22/7 30/5 | 72/9 | might [4] 17/15 23/23 28/13 73/15 |
| 30/20 31/6 33/5 34/12 35/9 37/10 37/11 | major [6] 14/16 15/1 27/2 48/12 48/19 | Mike [2] 18/1 58/5 |
| 45/1 45/8 45/15 46/11 47/8 49/14 53/18 | 71/5 | miles [1] 7/18 |
| 55/19 57/16 61/2 67/22 69/9 69/18 72/12 | make [28] 5/16 5/21 5/21 14/8 14/14 14/16 | military [1] 73/20 |
| 74/6 74/15 74/16 74/22 77/23 78/4 | 14/17 15/23 16/3 18/5 19/5 22/1 30/7 32/1 | Mill [3] 48/15 56/14 64/3 |
| likewise [1] 51/5 | 33/8 42/4 44/19 46/8 47/3 47/11 49/9 57/24 | million [11] 7/6 7/7 7/20 8/3 10/16 14/2 |
| limit [1] 78/12 | 63/13 65/17 65/19 66/2 77/15 78/4 | 48/17 49/2 50/12 50/13 55/15 |
| limited [3] 22/13 68/13 68/21 | makes [2] 39/1 64/14 | million gallons [1] 7/20 |
| limits [1] 44/18 | making [3] 18/7 57/2 63/24 | mind [2] 67/7 73/15 |
| line [8] 21/5 38/7 46/24 48/14 73/8 73/9 | man [1] 45/24 | mindful [1] 8/23 |
| 73/18 73/18 | manage [1] 37/6 | minimum [3] 57/11 57/12 60/24 |
| lines [2] 48/18 53/12 | management [4] 38/10 50/10 63/7 70/1 | minute [1] 53/16 |
| Liquor [2] 43/6 43/13 | manager [7] 2/23 29/12 29/14 29/14 44/4 | minutes [1] 5/22 |
| list [3] 12/21 12/22 48/20 | 54/9 74/24 | mismanagement [1] 69/22 |
| listed [1] 41/15 | managers [1] 74/11 | mispronounce [1] 28/22 |
| listening [1] 17/7 | mandatory [1] 72/9 | model [1] 65/12 |
| literally [1] 53/1 | Manhattan [2] 24/16 24/17 | Moderator [1] 49/3 |
| little [21] 3/3 3/16 6/21 6/24 9/21 21/3 | Manhattan's [1] 28/3 | modest [1] 57/3 |
| 21/16 23/5 24/14 27/17 31/5 36/16 37/11 | manpower [2] 73/19 73/22 | moment [1] 15/2 |
| 39/11 39/11 43/3 47/22 48/14 59/7 67/19 | many [7] 8/18 23/22 56/23 71/3 71/6 76/6 | money [27] 14/13 19/11 19/24 20/2 28/9 |
| 75/3 | 76/9 | 30/3 30/19 33/4 34/20 35/8 36/4 39/24 |
| live [12] 17/15 19/3 21/18 22/11 34/14 | maple [1] 70/16 | 40/12 40/13 40/15 40/17 41/4 47/10 52/23 |
| 34/15 44/23 45/4 45/23 46/13 46/23 66/24 | March [2] 15/3 15/14 | 57/2 57/16 57/17 57/19 57/21 58/15 67/8 |
| lived [2] 18/9 70/11 | Mark [3] 4/24 5/12 13/17 | 75/11 |
| lives [2] 10/20 56/9 | market [2] 23/11 23/20 | monitoring [1] 49/11 |

monopoly [10] 25/15 29/19 36/18 47/24 65/10 65/12 67/22 71/22 72/21 74/7 monopoly-type [1] 74/7
month [3] 9/21 26/3 71/4
monthly [4] 9/20 11/4 51/13 59/16 months [2] 31/12 32/3
Moore [4] 2/9 22/11 26/4 52/7
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { more [33] } & 5 / 3 & 8 / 11 \\ 15 / 16 & 16 / 22 & 26 / 8 \\ 26 / 18\end{array}$
37/11 43/3 43/18 43/19 44/3 46/13 48/2
49/16 50/21 53/20 54/12 54/15 59/4 59/8
59/13 59/14 59/20 59/20 61/3 63/23 66/17
68/12 69/21 72/12 73/10 76/4 76/4
morning [2] 30/7 52/17
mortgage [2] 22/24 23/3
most [11] 16/7 17/12 20/4 22/3 34/15
36/20 39/8 47/2 53/10 58/18 72/2
$\operatorname{Mr}[16] \quad 2 / 32 / 42 / 7$ 2/8 $2 / 9$ 2/10 2/12 $2 / 14$
2/16 2/19 2/20 2/22 2/23 15/5 54/24 74/23
Mr. [3] 49/11 56/9 60/15
Mr. Harned [1] 56/9
Mr. Landman [1] 60/15
Mr. McMorran [1] 49/11
Ms [4] 2/11 2/17 2/18 2/21
Ms. [1] 52/19
Ms. Woolsey [1] 52/19
much [32] 12/9 15/14 15/19 17/1 17/24
20/8 20/24 21/4 21/7 21/10 23/7 26/5 28/15 30/13 32/8 32/12 34/2 35/12 37/23 42/15 42/19 42/22 45/8 45/8 47/9 52/5 54/22 55/14 65/23 67/21 69/5 78/22
municipal [3] 51/2 54/6 77/2
municipalities [4] 51/3 51/13 51/16 53/4 must [5] 30/19 65/5 65/8 72/10 77/10 my [67] 5/20 13/17 15/19 18/3 18/22 18/23 18/24 19/2 19/3 19/13 19/15 20/3 20/5 20/21 20/23 21/7 21/16 21/17 21/18 21/19 21/20 22/10 24/13 30/5 30/10 30/19 33/18 34/5 34/8 34/9 34/14 35/10 35/19 36/12 37/23 42/5 42/11 42/12 42/16 44/22 45/1 47/1 47/4 47/6 47/7 47/24 49/23 50/1 50/1 50/22 52/23 52/24 52/24 53/2 53/6 54/1 54/24 56/8 57/12 61/20 62/2 70/6 70/9 71/20 73/10 75/24 76/1
myself [2] 4/18 70/10

## N

name [12] 13/17 22/10 24/13 31/23 33/16 36/12 37/24 44/22 66/19 68/20 69/10 71/20 names [1] 28/21
Nancy [2] 35/14 35/16
nasty [1] 30/8
natural [1] 25/11
Naylor [2] 4/24 5/12
near [2] 14/14 34/15
necessary [2] 57/5 77/11
need [15] 10/22 20/17 33/13 36/19 37/7
49/22 51/16 57/7 64/1 65/1 65/9 70/1 77/14
77/19 77/20
needed [4] 16/13 27/12 64/3 64/5
needs [7] 41/16 46/19 50/15 51/15 59/5
68/1 72/8
negotiated [1] 9/5
neighborhood [1] 70/15
neighbors [3] 45/1 47/4 70/9
never [6] 18/11 50/15 56/13 56/13 61/17 61/19
nevertheless [2] 14/7 61/22
new [32] 1/1 1/6 1/9 3/6 3/17 6/19 7/1 8/9 8/20 12/15 13/6 16/12 20/15 24/18 26/11 26/12 26/18 27/8 30/16 30/17 31/16 39/23 42/19 51/1 51/3 51/11 59/16 62/1 67/17 67/18 67/20 75/8
next [7] 12/6 13/14 33/12 36/7 72/6 76/14
$76 / 15$
NHPUC [2] 61/24 62/7
nice [2] 57/12 72/10
night [1] 59/9
nine [2] 17/19 22/19
no [44] 1/15 1/23 2/2 4/16 6/12 8/20 25/12
27/7 27/8 27/8 28/20 29/19 29/20 30/21
30/23 30/24 32/20 37/18 38/16 38/17 39/6
40/1 45/6 46/3 49/4 49/23 51/16 56/12
56/15 56/17 60/4 60/24 65/12 69/4 71/22
72/11 73/2 73/2 73/6 73/7 76/1 77/24 78/2
78/20
nobody [2] 18/15 18/16
non [2] 23/6 48/7
non-callable [1] 23/6
non-profit [1] 48/7
none [2] 27/19 49/12
normal [2] 4/21 34/21
normally [3] 20/7 34/18 35/2
North [13] 3/19 7/2 10/3 35/17 46/24 49/8 52/16 53/11 54/2 56/1 56/4 56/5 56/7 not [74] 4/7 4/8 4/14 4/20 5/5 5/16 6/5
15/14 18/23 19/15 19/21 21/2 21/21 22/3
24/24 25/6 30/8 30/15 30/19 31/17 32/12
32/13 33/7 34/12 35/6 35/11 36/1 37/4 38/5
38/21 40/1 41/3 42/20 42/22 44/11 44/18
47/9 48/23 49/19 50/19 51/22 55/15 56/8
57/1 57/4 57/10 58/1 60/3 60/17 60/18
61/10 63/3 63/16 64/1 64/19 65/2 66/6 66/8
67/11 67/22 68/23 68/24 69/6 70/1 70/10
70/18 70/21 71/1 71/9 75/22 76/3 77/17
77/19 78/12
notably [1] 11/8
notes [2] 22/6 35/10
nothing [6] 21/9 21/10 21/11 53/18 62/22
63/20
notice [3] $1 / 103 / 2068 / 3$
notices [1] 30/14
November [3] 1/4 3/14 50/24
November 28th [1] 3/14
November/December [1] 50/24
now [24] 4/13 5/2 9/22 11/16 14/7 19/5
19/9 20/2 20/20 26/8 35/24 37/17 42/6
42/14 42/17 43/3 43/24 44/1 62/23 73/8
73/9 75/20 76/7 76/21
number [8] 11/22 12/20 14/3 38/7 43/12 62/8 72/15 78/5
numbers [2] 22/18 31/5

## O

oath [1] 4/16
obscene [1] 30/1
observed [1] 49/4
obviously [4] 4/17 47/9 78/7 78/13
OCA [1] 32/21
occasionally [1] 57/7
occasions [1] 70/13
occur [1] 73/15
occurred [1] 74/5
ocean [2] 22/11 34/16
off [5] 8/20 28/21 46/4 46/23 46/24
off-track [1] 46/4
office [9] 1/4 3/13 4/6 5/8 15/11 35/19
76/1 78/14 78/14
official [1] 66/13
officials [1] 51/1
often [1] 36/16
Oh [3] 47/16 52/9 60/13
oil [1] 49/16
okay [16] 6/13 13/14 18/11 19/2 19/3 20/6 21/8 28/16 33/12 41/6 41/7 47/16 47/20 52/10 52/12 60/19
old [3] 16/14 16/14 42/7
on [152]
once [9] 21/13 38/22 38/24 39/6 64/8

70/12 71/4 76/22 78/1
one [66] 4/16 8/6 10/11 11/9 12/10 14/13 14/20 16/6 16/17 17/12 18/4 18/5 19/8 19/9 19/9 20/5 20/14 25/24 30/10 30/12 31/12
31/21 33/7 33/13 35/10 35/14 37/15 37/16
37/17 38/3 40/19 41/12 41/14 41/19 42/9
42/13 42/22 45/1 45/22 48/17 50/21 50/23
51/10 51/12 52/22 53/7 53/23 53/24 53/24 54/24 55/4 55/24 55/24 56/8 57/3 59/9
60/14 61/17 63/16 66/17 66/18 70/13 72/4
73/18 78/4 78/20
ones [3] 13/1 56/16 63/4
ongoing [2] 39/5 39/6
only [8] 11/9 20/18 35/6 37/16 37/17 41/3 60/5 76/21
onto [1] 10/16
open [2] 12/21 73/21
opening [2] 55/9 70/16
operated [1] 24/17
operating [6] 7/23 20/15 36/18 62/11
62/18 65/3
operation [4] 19/11 43/13 53/1 67/19
operational [1] 51/14
operations [4] 6/18 6/19 26/15 67/18
opinion [1] 73/10
opportunity [3] 36/14 49/9 72/17
oppose [2] 4/8 50/19
opposed [4] 10/24 16/24 70/24 71/12
opposes [1] 13/24
opposite [1] 59/14
or [48] $4 / 19$ 4/23 6/5 6/7 8/5 10/19 11/4
11/11 11/17 13/1 15/15 16/2 17/8 18/10
20/3 20/11 23/15 28/17 31/9 33/7 34/10
37/5 37/7 39/8 42/10 45/10 45/16 46/24 47/1 51/12 61/12 63/17 64/1 65/21 66/9 68/12 69/9 71/11 72/6 72/6 72/9 72/10 72/10 73/8 73/20 74/7 75/1 75/22
order [2] 4/10 28/20
organization [1] 74/10
orphan [1] 39/20
other [27] 4/21 8/7 10/18 12/1 12/11 16/5
17/3 17/17 23/19 24/2 24/5 24/9 25/16 26/3
29/17 33/7 33/8 38/20 39/16 44/14 49/8
57/13 71/11 72/15 75/24 77/17 78/15
others [1] 61/21
otherwise [1] 65/20
ought [4] 40/14 43/3 58/23 67/11
our [58] 4/15 8/1 8/2 8/10 8/13 8/18 8/20
8/21 8/21 8/22 9/3 9/4 9/11 9/12 9/13 9/14
10/1 10/2 10/12 10/16 10/18 11/16 11/18
12/2 12/3 12/3 16/5 21/11 21/19 26/16
34/16 35/24 36/3 36/3 40/3 41/18 41/18
45/7 45/10 45/21 45/23 46/22 47/1 48/22
49/14 49/17 50/5 50/19 54/16 56/14 68/16
70/7 70/8 70/17 70/17 70/19 71/3 73/2
out [41] 6/17 8/6 9/2 11/20 15/2 16/14
18/24 20/15 20/22 24/1 24/9 31/13 31/18
33/6 34/8 34/24 35/3 35/4 35/5 39/12 40/16
41/10 41/23 42/9 42/12 54/16 55/6 58/16
58/20 61/18 68/17 68/19 70/2 70/7 70/12
70/22 73/17 73/21 74/9 76/6 76/22
outfits [1] 74/6
outraged [1] 16/7
outrageous [2] 36/5 60/7
outside [3] 16/13 37/8 45/13
outstanding [2] 49/12 50/12
over [21] 4/24 6/22 6/23 8/1 8/14 10/22
22/4 22/4 23/4 26/19 30/21 31/4 43/21 50/4
51/20 54/16 56/3 56/3 73/3 74/5 75/16
overall [3] 15/23 62/14 63/14
overburdened [1] 70/9
overlap [1] 61/21
oversees [1] 76/2
oversight [1] 43/19
own [4] 34/5 45/21 64/9 64/12

| 0 | period [2] 58/1 76/16 <br> permanent [7] 3/23 4/2 14/17 32/6 32/14 | prevented [1] 27/4 previously [2] 61/22 63/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| owners [3] 48/24 56/6 56/20 ownership [1] 68/15 owns [2] 17/12 70/18 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 / 141 / 3 \\ & \text { permission [2] 16/1 59/10 } \\ & \text { Perpetuation [1] 65/9 } \end{aligned}$ | ```price [5] 21/14 31/12 31/13 39/21 59/19 pricing [1] 51/17 primary [2] 7/11 8/5``` |
| P | person [4] 18/22 33/13 66/17 67/14 personal [1] 36/1 | private [5] 25/21 36/17 48/5 50/7 54/7 privilege [2] 45/5 45/8 |
| p.m [3] 1/4 3/14 79/3 | personally [1] 70/2 | proactive [2] 8/11 73/10 |
| page [2] 2/2 13/6 | perspective [2] 6/23 11/21 | proactively [1] 66/4 |
| paid [6] 20/24 24/3 40/4 41/16 64/20 75/22 | pertains [1] 22/17 | proactivity [1] 73/2 |
| painted [1] 70/12 | pertinent [1] 13/9 | probably [9] 5/3 18/6 21/17 21/23 34/15 |
| Pam [1] 12/2 | petition [3] 3/24 76/17 76/18 | 37/8 42/20 57/13 75/2 |
| paper [1] 43/8 | petitions [1] 19/8 | problem [8] 18/11 20/23 30/9 37/3 37 |
| papers [2] 22/24 4 | pH [1] 9/7 | 37/6 46/6 78/7 |
| parent [4] 24/19 57/20 68/12 69/22 | Phil [1] 24/13 | proceedings [1] 15/18 |
| parents [1] 39/19 | Philip [1] 52/10 | process [8] 6/7 32/3 35/20 40/16 41/1 |
| parity [1] 60/23 | physical [1] 49/10 | 41/10 66/7 68/21 |
| part [11] 5/4 5/17 23/17 29/13 41/1 56/10 | pie [1] 8/4 | product [2] 30/9 31/11 |
| 62/15 66/13 73/2 77/1 79/1 | piece [4] 35/3 42/21 44/5 64/16 | production [3] 64/21 65/16 65/18 |
| participate [2] 72/5 73/13 | Pierce [3] 2/8 18/2 47/13 | profit [5] 48/7 50/17 52/21 57/9 57/23 |
| particular [8] 13/20 17/6 23/17 28/20 33/2 | Pierzynski [4] 2/12 33/19 33/20 33/21 | prohibit [1] 23/9 |
| $66 / 366 / 1470 / 14$ | pipe [3] 7/18 38/22 57/6 | project [3] 29/12 29/14 29/14 |
| parts [2] 24/2 56/13 | piped [1] 71/24 | projects [1] 48/20 |
| party [2] 9/3 30/1 | pipes [9] 10/23 53/12 53/14 53/15 55/8 | prolific [1] 25/22 |
| pass [1] 71/18 | 55/12 58/17 58/21 70/13 | promote [2] 39/16 70/3 |
| passed [2] 31/7 56/5 | Pittsfield [2] 75/5 76/24 | promoted [1] 62/21 |
| past [4] 43/14 51/20 51/24 68/15 | place [3] 7/9 39/7 76/20 | promoting [1] 39/22 |
| path [2] 67/16 69/6 | places [1] 17/13 | pronounce [1] 33/19 |
| Patnaude [1] 1/23 | placing [1] 52/3 | pronounced [1] 33/19 |
| pattern [1] 16/14 | plain [1] 76/23 | properties [1] 10/20 |
| pay [34] 11/8 34/8 34/17 34/19 39/24 | plan [1] 5/20 | property [14] 8/9 30/10 30/14 35/1 35/6 |
| 40/14 46/13 46/14 48/1 48/2 48/24 49/14 | planning [5] 38/5 72/5 73/6 73/14 73/14 | 35/6 48/24 49/1 56/6 56/6 56/19 56/20 |
| 51/12 51/20 53/18 53/19 53/19 53/20 54/15 | planning's [1] 72/21 | 70/18 76/7 |
| 54/18 56/18 56/24 58/9 59/14 59/23 62/13 | plant [1] 75/8 | proportions [1] 55/22 |
| 63/17 63/21 64/5 64/5 64/14 71/10 75/20 | played [1] 15/1 | propose [1] 40/8 |
| 76/8 | plead [1] 38/18 | proposed [12] 4/2 4/8 45/1 48/3 50/3 54/2 |
| paying [11] 9/11 35/8 40/17 49/16 54/14 | please [7] 5/4 22/15 22/15 40/23 63/10 | 56/2 56/22 57/1 58/2 59/10 61/12 |
| 56/15 57/5 64/13 67/13 75/22 76/10 | 69/12 71/19 | proposing [1] 11/17 |
| payments [1] 23/15 | pleasure [1] 13/1 | protect [1] 50/18 |
| penalized [3] 16/6 16/11 47/8 | plowing [1] 70/7 | protection [17] 7/4 10/2 10/5 10/8 10/9 |
| penalty [3] 39/17 75/22 75/22 | plus [1] 36/22 | 10/19 11/14 34/7 38/21 39/6 45/3 46/7 |
| pending [2] 4/2 26/7 | point [13] 8/6 11/24 14/10 15/4 18/7 18/18 | 46/13 53/8 53/23 55/15 56/12 |
| Pennichuck [2] 76/24 77/1 | 19/2 19/10 19/13 19/15 40/22 60/14 64/9 | protects [1] 44/10 |
| pension [6] 8/19 8/20 8/21 18/24 19/1 | points [3] 14/16 15/22 42/10 | protest [1] 62/2 |
| 69/24 | political [1] 67/21 | protesting [1] 65/21 |
| pensioners [1] 41/23 | poorly [1] 25/13 | provide [8] 7/5 7/9 11/16 26/8 48/9 50/16 |
| pensions [5] 17/8 17/13 25/10 41/23 | popping [1] 63/11 | 53/21 54/13 |
| 41/24 | portfolio [3] 20/3 20 | provided [2] 17/9 17/16 |
| people [51] 4/13 4/19 5/8 13/2 16/7 17/9 | portion [3] 14/4 27/3 56/14 | provides [4] 3/17 16/20 48/5 48/16 |
| 17/13 17/14 19/16 22/3 26/9 27/4 27/16 | Portsmouth [4] 54/5 54/8 54/11 54/14 | providing [7] 8/12 11/13 11/15 24/4 36/17 |
| 27/20 27/21 28/7 28/23 31/11 31/15 31/16 | posed [1] 63/8 | 50/8 51/18 |
| 32/9 34/12 34/14 34/18 36/4 42/1 43/20 | position [4] 44/6 67/11 75/13 77/4 | public [26] 1/2 1/10 2/6 3/4 3/7 5/14 6/2 |
| 43/22 44/10 44/10 46/12 47/2 53/19 53/22 | possible [1] 27/1 | 6/3 13/20 15/12 16/19 17/5 22/10 29/22 |
| 57/14 60/2 62/9 63/16 66/5 68/1 68/22 69/4 | possibly [1] 21/12 | 29/24 31/15 36/18 51/4 51/17 54/8 61/18 |
| 70/2 71/3 71/9 71/14 73/21 74/10 76/4 78/5 | posted [1] 28/18 | 68/5 77/6 78/8 78/21 79/1 |
| 78/10 | potential [1] 33/7 | public's [1] 15/4 |
| people's [2] 28/9 44/6 | power [2] 9/2 9/3 | publicly [1] 24/15 |
| per [8] 9/19 24/23 24/24 48/19 53/16 54/4 | practice [1] 61/2 | published [1] 51/1 |
| 54/4 56/2 | predecessors [1] 18/10 | PUC [14] 5/12 12/14 12/14 12/15 13/6 15/6 |
| perceive [1] 72/8 | prejudice [2] 15/13 15/18 | 15/10 16/2 16/9 17/18 17/21 18/4 40/2 44/7 |
| percent [74] 4/12 8/8 8/15 8/17 14/1 14/12 | premises [1] 49/10 | puc.nh.gov [1] 13/13 |
| 14/12 15/7 15/8 15/9 15/17 15/24 16/2 | premiums [1] 49/1 | pump [3] 7/21 33/24 47/5 |
| 16/18 17/3 17/4 18/14 19/23 21/9 22/20 | prepared [5] 11/6 19/6 19/6 53/6 54/1 | pumped [1] 7/16 |
| 22/20 23/1 23/4 23/19 27/24 28/1 28/1 28/2 | preposterous [1] 72/3 | pumper [1] 55/3 |
| 28/4 28/4 28/5 31/5 32/1 32/4 32/5 32/23 | present [2] 1/13 6/3 | pumping [1] 58/16 |
| 36/4 36/5 36/22 36/22 40/6 52/22 52/23 | presentation [4] 2/3 5/21 6/20 17/7 | pumps [1] 10/23 |
| 54/15 54/16 56/3 57/20 57/21 57/23 58/14 | presented [1] 5/12 | punch [1] 12/18 |
| 58/22 59/17 60/6 61/12 62/4 62/5 62/6 62/8 | presided [1] 50/4 | punished [1] 49/23 |
| 62/14 63/12 63/14 63/16 63/24 64/15 68/5 | President [3] 6/18 26/1 59/2 | purchase [5] 26/14 26/19 48/11 74/2 |
| $69 / 2370 / 2471 / 671 / 1073 / 975 / 275 / 475 / 9$ | Presidential [1] 71/21 | $76 / 22$ |
| 75/11 | presidents [1] 74/12 | purchased [1] 26/17 |
| percentage [1] 10/1 | Presiding [1] 1/13 | purchasing [2] 26/2 26/24 |
| percentages [1] 50/18 | pressure [1] 76/20 | purposes [2] 14/21 14/24 |
| performance [1] 37/12 <br> perhaps [3] 44/7 65/17 72/11 | pretty [12] 8/22 13/5 17/1 17/14 20/7 20/7 $20 / 13$ 21/15 35/11 37/19 68/10 78/11 | push [5] 72/13 72/18 72/18 73/1 74/17 pushback [1] 73/15 |




| S | taken [2] 1/15 78/23 <br> takes [3] 16/20 70/5 70 | 72/18 72/18 73/13 73/14 74/8 74/17 74/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stewards [2] 26/13 51/3 | tale [2] 61/9 61/10 | themselves [6] 14/14 27/20 57/20 57/21 |
| Stiles [3] 2/13 35/14 35/17 | talk [1] 75/3 | 70/21 71/9 |
| still [9] 10/17 19/19 30/18 41/10 41/14 | talked [1] 62/9 | then [34] 5/24 6/1 6/13 6/22 9/11 12/19 |
| 5/1 55/5 59/5 62/8 | talking[6] 18/4 46/9 46/10 53/18 57/9 59/9 | 13/8 20/16 23/13 26/5 30/16 31/12 32/3 |
| ock [1] 27/17 | tank [3] 48/15 48/16 64/3 | 32/4 32/6 32/10 33/3 33/5 34/1 39/15 39/19 |
| stood [1] 38/12 | tanker [1] 55/4 | 39/21 39/23 42/3 42/17 45/11 54/15 62/5 |
| stop [3] 41/13 57/4 57/5 | tanks [4] 7/19 7/21 10/23 55/13 | 68/17 68/19 70/9 75/12 75/14 77/16 |
| stopped [2] 21/20 47/5 | tap [1] 30/8 | there [75] 4/24 6/10 8/13 8/14 9/9 10/11 |
| stopping [1] 17/8 | taps [1] 59/12 | 12/10 12/16 12/21 13/1 13/3 13/11 14/3 |
| storage [2] 7/20 48/15 | tastes [1] 30/8 | 15/16 18/13 24/1 24/10 25/12 27/19 27/21 |
| story [2] 39/18 46/4 | tax [5] 8/9 39/5 56/6 56/11 56/19 | 30/22 32/20 35/10 38/11 38/12 38/16 38/17 |
| strategy [2] 26/16 26/23 | taxed [1] 34/10 | 38/23 38/23 38/24 39/1 39/6 40/1 41/16 |
| Street [5] 17/11 33/14 44/23 49/2 72/24 | taxes [3] 8/7 8/9 56/16 | 42/19 42/22 43/3 43/10 43/11 43/12 43/18 |
| streets [2] 47/1 55/10 | taxpayer [4] 18/2 50/17 62/2 69/20 | 45/15 45/23 46/5 46/9 46/13 49/4 51/15 |
| strengthen [1] 26/20 | taxpayers [5] 16/21 53/20 56/24 71/16 | 53/14 55/2 55/12 55/12 55/17 57/13 59/4 |
| stripping [1] 25/10 | 77/20 | 59/5 59/7 60/17 60/21 61/1 66/16 69/8 |
| strongly [1] 62/21 | tea [1] 30/7 | 69/10 70/8 70/11 72/3 73/4 73/17 73/22 |
| struck [1] 38/3 | team [1] 50/10 | 74/8 76/19 77/10 77/22 78/4 78/10 |
| structure [4] 31/2 53/24 58/7 58/8 | tear [1] 55/6 | there's [39] 4/22 7/8 7/17 7/19 7/22 11/22 |
| struggle [1] 48/8 | tearing [1] 70/16 | 19/16 19/19 23/8 23/24 25/2 26/4 27/7 27/7 |
| struggled [1] 25/1 | technical [1] 52/17 | 27/8 27/16 28/20 29/19 30/23 30/23 32/11 |
| student [1] 49/21 | tell [9] 28/3 29/18 38/19 42/14 59/12 66/4 | 34/21 37/18 38/5 40/1 43/1 45/10 52/20 |
| stuff [4] 13/2 39/23 43/2 43/9 | 73/14 76/3 77/3 | 54/7 55/11 57/11 59/3 60/4 60/24 62/21 |
| subject [1] 62/3 | telling [1] 66/5 | 71/22 76/1 78/10 78/14 |
| submit [6] 4/23 13/2 28/13 28/18 44/1 | temporary [14] 4/1 4/8 4/12 15/6 15/8 | therefore [1] 18/23 |
| 69/9 | 31/22 32/2 32/7 32/8 32/12 32/24 33/3 41/2 | these [28] 5/3 15/1 17/1 20/17 22/6 22/13 |
| submitted [3] 60/16 60/18 61/13 | 62/5 | 27/12 28/20 30/18 34/12 38/7 39/16 41/14 |
| subsequent [1] 68/19 | ten [6] 5/22 22/20 22/21 60/6 63/13 70/11 | 41/14 43/18 44/8 44/18 45/24 51/23 52/18 |
| subsidiaries [2] 68/7 68/14 | tenants [1] 24/1 | 56/20 67/20 72/7 74/7 74/8 75/4 76/10 |
| subsidize [1] 25/16 | term [6] 38/5 43/15 44/15 51/15 57/18 | 77/16 |
| substantially [1] 50/1 | 57/18 | they [120] |
| successfully [1] 49/21 | terms [3] 27/20 36/23 37/13 | they're [38] 22/22 23/1 29/23 30/2 31/1 |
| such [6] 38/15 38/15 38/15 38/15 44/8 | terrible [1] 43/1 | 31/4 31/6 32/13 33/5 33/7 37/19 39/5 39/21 |
| 58/1 | territories [1] 26/11 | 39/21 39/22 39/22 40/1 40/7 41/8 41/21 |
| sufficient [1] 25/5 | territory [1] 26/14 | 43/22 47/9 53/9 53/10 54/10 55/21 56/15 |
| sufficiently [1] 23/12 | test [1] 30/5 | 58/10 58/15 59/11 66/12 68/23 69/1 73/14 |
| suggestions [1] 49/9 | testified [1] 57/19 | 74/18 76/3 76/9 77/17 |
| sum [1] 11/11 | testimony [5] 3/22 12/23 13/2 25/9 54/1 | they've [1] 41/15 |
| summer [3] 7/7 47/7 55/14 | testing [2] 9/4 9/5 | thick [1] 13/10 |
| sump [1] 47/5 | than [22] 5/4 5/23 9/21 11/8 16/22 17/3 | thing [15] 13/4 15/12 20/1 20/10 20/12 |
| supplier [1] 9/3 | 19/12 23/10 23/14 26/8 26/18 32/24 41/2 | 31/21 31/22 37/1 37/15 40/8 42/10 44/1 |
| supplies [1] 51/4 | 44/3 46/14 48/2 51/12 51/21 54/12 58/4 | 44/16 46/8 53/7 |
| supply [4] 27/6 39/13 48/6 50/9 | 62/16 69/22 | things [21] 4/14 6/4 12/14 16/7 17/17 |
| support [3] 10/24 24/20 58/1 | thank [45] 6/15 12/9 13/16 15/19 17/22 | 20/16 20/16 21/13 38/3 38/19 39/17 41/12 |
| supported [1] 59/18 | 17/24 22/9 24/10 24/11 28/10 28/15 31/19 | 43/18 44/8 66/13 72/3 72/9 74/9 77/7 78/15 |
| supporting [3] 3/22 7/4 10/24 | 33/10 35/12 35/13 35/16 36/9 36/10 37/21 | 78/16 |
| suppose [2] 23/1 66/4 | 37/23 44/20 46/21 47/12 47/14 47/23 52/5 | think [44] 13/19 14/23 17/17 19/24 20/10 |
| supposed [1] 41/13 | 52/15 60/7 61/4 61/8 61/8 65/22 65/23 | 20/12 21/2 21/4 21/6 21/10 21/11 22/3 22/4 |
| suppression [2] 48/16 48/23 | 66/15 66/21 66/23 67/3 67/4 69/7 69/16 | 23/8 23/22 24/6 29/16 31/24 33/3 35/13 |
| Supreme [1] 25/2 | 71/16 71/19 74/20 77/21 78/22 | 35/18 36/20 41/16 43/7 44/2 44/16 46/19 |
| surcharge [1] 14/19 | that [387] | 47/11 59/3 61/1 62/22 64/23 64/24 65/14 |
| sure [9] 19/16 21/2 23/17 25/3 33/8 40/20 | that's [67] 5/12 6/4 7/8 8/7 8/8 9/13 11/5 | 66/9 66/16 68/8 68/9 71/1 71/4 72/13 72/23 |
| 63/13 66/20 69/13 | 12/13 12/22 13/4 13/9 13/13 14/19 16/6 | 77/6 77/7 |
| Susan [1] 5/9 | 18/7 19/4 20/6 20/9 20/19 20/23 21/1 21/5 | thinking [3] 16/12 31/11 74/9 |
| Susan's [1] 78/14 | 21/6 21/17 21/22 22/5 23/16 23/21 24/7 | third [2] 9/3 14/16 |
| Sustainability [1] 52/1 | 25/10 28/14 29/7 31/1 31/10 32/10 32/19 | this [146] |
| sworn [1] 4/16 | 34/11 35/9 35/10 35/11 36/24 38/24 38/24 | those [21] 6/8 7/13 7/20 8/3 8/5 8/14 10/4 |
| Sydney [2] 68/13 69/1 | 39/20 40/4 40/21 42/1 44/11 46/18 54/6 | 11/7 11/9 11/19 14/24 16/15 28/18 31/22 |
| symbol [1] 39/11 | 55/1 55/15 55/15 55/24 57/22 61/17 62/10 | 37/9 55/11 56/17 56/21 63/20 76/22 78/15 |
| synergy [1] 74/3 | 67/8 67/23 72/14 74/14 74/19 75/17 78/3 | though [3] 4/7 26/12 56/18 |
| system [41] 7/17 7/19 7/22 9/13 10/9 | 78/12 78/18 78/19 | thought [1] 43/6 |
| 10/16 10/20 10/22 12/2 24/18 27/2 34/20 | their [51] 14/15 19/24 20/16 22/19 23/3 | thousand [2] 42/20 43/8 |
| 39/7 39/8 39/9 45/3 45/4 45/5 45/6 45/9 | 23/8 24/5 25/24 27/12 27/19 29/13 29/16 | thousands [1] 54/17 |
| 46/14 48/13 50/14 51/15 51/21 51/24 52/2 | 29/20 29/21 30/1 30/24 31/18 35/5 36/1 | three [19] 7/6 7/13 7/18 11/12 22/20 23/2 |
| 53/11 54/6 54/7 54/11 55/8 55/18 55/19 | 36/4 37/2 38/21 39/11 41/22 41/22 42/24 | 31/12 34/6 35/18 48/20 53/16 63/8 65/7 |
| 56/13 57/4 58/14 59/17 59/23 75/7 77/1 | 43/11 43/21 47/3 47/5 48/11 49/1 50/12 | 65/8 67/20 73/11 76/13 76/14 76/19 |
| system's [1] 55/2 | 53/22 56/6 56/19 58/24 59/22 63/6 71/10 | three-quarters [1] 22/20 |
| systems [8] 7/5 10/10 26/3 26/18 26/20 | 72/8 72/16 72/16 72/21 73/3 73/11 73/15 | three-year [2] 65/7 76/13 |
| 75/16 75/23 77/3 | 73/16 73/16 73/18 76/6 | threw [1] 39/19 |
| T | theirs [1] 46/6 | through [15] 19/17 26/16 26 |
| take [11] 5/5 37/13 37/20 44/17 70/1 70/10 75/19 76/23 77/6 77/8 78/23 | 40/5 40/6 42/1 44/19 47/2 53/13 54/12 55/9 | 70/13 73/24 77/9 77/9 <br> throughout [6] 7/12 10/9 10/17 26/9 26/22 |
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volume [2] 42/16 48/22
volunteer [1] 49/5
volunteers [1] 49/8
VPs [1] 74/11
W
wage [2] 58/4 58/4
wages [2] 8/13 8/17
walk [1] 73/21
Walker [1] 49/3
Wall [1] 17/10
Walsh [3] 2/3 6/18 12/1
wand [1] 57/15
want [25] 4/17 5/21 11/24 12/11 13/10
19/23 22/12 27/17 28/13 29/4 29/19 30/16
30/20 31/2 33/14 33/23 44/19 46/8 50/23
53/23 60/23 67/3 67/4 71/18 75/2
wanted [6] 11/3 22/16 33/8 46/17 46/18
70/14
wants [5] 6/2 6/11 19/22 59/21 78/20
was [85]
wash [1] 21/19
wasn't [5] 41/7 45/18 45/18 45/18 45/19
waste [3] 21/19 21/22 58/24
wastewater [1] 51/10
watch [1] 70/16
water [143]
Water's [1] 26/15
water-efficient [1] 49/24
watering [1] 47/5
wave [1] 57/15
way [21] $3 / 16$ 6/4 8/9 18/21 19/4 22/4 22/4
24/4 31/22 33/6 33/7 38/6 38/17 49/13 54/9
57/19 61/13 66/1 69/5 74/1 74/2
ways [1] 9/15
we [149]
we'd [4] 46/11 54/21 61/2 72/11
we'll [6] 4/20 6/4 6/8 28/22 69/11 78/23
we're [52] 3/4 3/4 3/14 4/14 6/23 8/11 8/12
8/21 8/23 9/22 9/22 10/6 11/13 11/14 11/17 11/17 19/10 20/13 21/4 22/6 22/14 34/3
34/6 34/12 34/13 34/19 35/7 36/17 40/4
41/14 41/19 41/19 43/1 45/4 45/11 45/16
47/8 51/5 52/18 57/9 66/6 66/8 66/8 67/12
71/24 71/24 71/24 72/1 72/20 73/9 76/12
78/21
we've [19] 7/12 7/19 8/7 8/19 9/1 9/12
10/4 19/18 36/22 41/20 41/21 44/9 46/8
46/10 54/22 58/10 66/6 68/15 74/24
wealth [1] 24/23
wealthy [1] 24/24
webpage [2] 12/17 70/3
website [5] 12/14 12/16 13/6 25/18 27/19
week [2] 42/23 67/2
weighted [1] 23/10
Welch [2] 2/23 74/24
welcome [1] 52/6
welfare [1] 76/2
well [19] 6/9 6/15 7/22 8/9 13/1 20/19
21/12 25/3 29/4 32/20 37/19 39/15 42/11
52/24 54/21 54/23 56/10 62/10 70/3
wells [5] 7/12 7/13 7/17 49/11 56/15
went [8] 9/2 18/4 18/15 20/6 42/12 46/1

## 77/9 77/9

were [17] 4/8 10/17 14/21 17/2 17/3 24/15
26/2 33/2 33/18 40/15 40/16 41/2 45/20
63/4 63/22 75/7 78/5
weren't [1] 53/14
west [2] 29/13 56/9
western [1] 27/4
whacked [1] 21/14
what [55] 8/2 11/6 11/8 11/17 11/17 20/22
21/24 21/24 23/14 26/10 29/15 31/1 31/7
35/2 36/20 36/21 36/22 37/3 37/10 38/6


